# SIERRA CLUB PETITION EXHIBIT 3

1				
2	ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY PUBLIC HEARING			
3	IN RE:			
4	Proposed Issuance of a Construction Permit/PSD Approval			
5	to Power Holdings of Illinois, LLC for a Synthetic Natural Gas Plant			
6	in Blissville Township, Jefferson County			
7				
8	Report of the proceedings held on March 3, 2009, at 7:00 p.m., at the Knights of Columbus Hall, 130 South			
9	Eighth Street, DuBois, Illinois, before Sharon Valerius, Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter #084-003349 for the State of Illinois.			
11	BEFORE HEARING OFFICER:			
12	MS. RACHEL L. DOCTORS  Illinois Environmental Protection Agency  1021 North Grand Avenue East			
13	P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276			
14	Springriera, frimors 02/94-92/0			
15				
16	ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY			
17	PANEL MEMBERS PRESENT: Mr. Chris Romaine			
18	Mr. Robert P. Smet			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	I N D E X	
2		PAGE
3	EXHIBITS No. 1	11
4	No. 2	11
5	No. 3	11
6	No. 4	22
7	No. 5	45
8	No. 6	47
9	No. 7	
10	No. 8	
11	No. 9	
12	No. 10	
13	No. 11	
14	No. 12	
15	No. 13	129
16	Opening Remarks by Hearing Officer	5
17	Introduction of IEPA Panel	12
18	Opening Remarks by Mr. Smet	12
19	Introduction of Power Holdings representatives	15
20	Presentation by Mr. Darguzas	16
21	QUESTIONS/COMMENTS BY: Cliff Polczynski	19
22	Mary Ellen Bechtel	19
23	Ted Buck	22
24	Fred Kreger	23
25	Larry Spotanski	26

1		
	Linda Borowiak	28
2	Judy Spotanski	29
3	Julie Klamm	33
4	Amy Spotanski	36
5	Mark Spotanski	40
6	Chad Kujawa	41
7	Barbara McKasson	42
8	Bernard Bauza	46
9		46
10	Patrick Kujawa	
11	Ted Schanafelt	47
12	Bradley Hill	48
13	Ben Kujawa	52
14	Louis Bauza	53
15	Jean Kujawa	54
16	Becki Clayborn	57
17	Rick Nowland	61
18	Charles Spotanski	64
	Verena Owen	68
19	Walter Wagner	71
20	Brian Ramsey	74
21	Ann Phillips	75
22	Mike Starr	76
23	Dale Wojtkowski	77
24	Leo Suchomski	79
25	Sandra Suchomski	81

1	Theodore Kujawa	82
2	Blaine Middleton	83
3	Kathy Andria	84
4	_	
5	Becki Clayborn	91
6	Judy Spotanski	104
7	Verna Owen	106
8	Mary Ann Kujawa	112
9	Kathy Andria	116
10	Ted Schanafelt	130
11	Charles Spotanski	135
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
1 HEARING OFFICER: Good evening. My
```

- 2 name is Rachel Doctors, and I'm an attorney with the
- 3 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. I want to
- 4 begin by thanking everyone for coming this evening
- 5 and attending the hearing. The Illinois EPA
- 6 recognizes that the public hearings that we have --
- 7 (Audience member(s) speaking.)
- 8 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I
- 9 have been designated by the Director of the Illinois
- 10 EPA to serve as the hearing officer in this matter.
- 11 As the hearing officer, my sole purpose tonight is to
- 12 make sure that these proceedings run properly and
- 13 according to the rules. It is not my job to answer
- 14 questions regarding the permitting process or the
- 15 permit itself.
- 16 This is an informational public hearing
- 17 before the Illinois EPA in the matter of air
- 18 pollution control construction permit to Power
- 19 Holdings for a synthetic natural gas plant five miles
- 20 south of Illinois Route 15 on Tomahawk Lane, west of
- 21 Waltonville in Blissville Township, Jefferson
- 22 County. The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
- 23 has received an application for this plant.
- 24 The proposed plant is designed to use
- 25 gasification technology to convert coal into

- 1 synthetic natural gas. The proposed plant is
- 2 considered a major new source for emissions of sulfur
- 3 dioxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide,
- 4 particulate matter (PM, PM10 and PM2.5) and sulfuric
- 5 acid mist under the federal rules for Prevention of
- 6 Significant Deterioration (PSD), 40 CFR 52.21, as
- 7 emissions of these pollutants would be above the
- 8 significant emission thresholds established in that
- 9 section.
- 10 The Illinois EPA has made a preliminary
- 11 determination that the project meets the requirements
- 12 for obtaining a permit for the project and has
- 13 prepared a draft permit for review. The Illinois EPA
- 14 is holding this hearing for the purpose of explaining
- 15 the draft permit, responding to questions, and
- 16 accepting comments from the public on the proposed
- 17 issuance of a permit for this project prior to
- 18 actually making a final decision on the application.
- 19 It is now approximately 7:10 on March
- 20 3, 2009. This public hearing is being held under the
- 21 provisions of the Illinois EPA's procedures for
- 22 permit and closure plan hearings, which can be found
- 23 at 35 Illinois Administrative Code, Part 166, Subpart
- 24 A. Copies of these procedures can be obtained from
- 25 either myself, upon request, or they can be accessed

1 on the Web site for the Illinois Pollution Control

- 2 Board at www.IPCB.state.il.us.
- 3 An informational public hearing means
- 4 that this is strictly an informational hearing. It
- 5 is an opportunity for the Illinois EPA to provide you
- 6 with information concerning the permit. It is also
- 7 an opportunity for you to provide information to the
- 8 Illinois EPA concerning the same permit. This is not
- 9 a contested case hearing.
- 10 I would like to explain how tonight's
- 11 hearing is going to proceed. First we will have the
- 12 Illinois EPA staff introduce themselves and identify
- 13 their responsibilities at the agency. Then the
- 14 employees of Power Holdings, LLC will introduce
- 15 themselves. Following the overview, I will allow the
- 16 public to ask questions or provide comments.
- 17 You are not required to provide your
- 18 comments orally. Written comments are given the same
- 19 consideration and may be submitted to the Illinois
- 20 EPA at any time within the public comment period,
- 21 which ends at midnight, April 2, 2009. Although we
- 22 will continue to accept comments through that date,
- 23 tonight is the only time that we will accept oral
- 24 comments.
- 25 Any person who wants to make an oral

- 1 comment may do so, so long as the statements are
- 2 relevant to the issues that are addressed at the
- 3 hearing and they have indicated on the registration
- 4 card that they would like to comment. If you have
- 5 not signed a registration card at this point, please
- 6 see Brad Frost, who's standing in the back, and he
- 7 will provide you with a comment card.
- 8 You may indicate that you would like to
- 9 orally comment or receive a copy of the summary of
- 10 the hearing. If you have lengthy comments or
- 11 questions, it may be helpful to submit them to me in
- 12 writing before the close of the comment period, and I
- 13 will ensure that they are included in the hearing
- 14 record as exhibits.
- 15 Please keep your comments and questions
- 16 relevant to the issue at hand. If your comments fall
- 17 outside the scope of this hearing, I may ask you to
- 18 proceed to another issue. All speakers have the
- 19 option of directing questions to either the Illinois
- 20 EPA's panel, or they can make general comments, or
- 21 they may do both.
- The applicant, Power Holdings, LLC, is
- 23 also free to answer questions if it is willing to do
- 24 so, but I am not in a position to require them to
- 25 answer questions. Our panel members will make every

1 attempt to answer the questions presented, but I will

- 2 not allow the speakers to argue, or cross examine, or
- 3 engage in a prolonged dialogue with our panel.
- 4 For the purpose of allowing everyone to
- 5 have a chance to comment, I'm asking that groups,
- 6 organizations, and associations keep their questions
- 7 and comments to 15 minutes, and that individuals keep
- 8 their comments to 5 minutes, to give everyone who
- 9 desires to speak that opportunity.
- In addition, I'd like to stress that we
- 11 want to avoid unnecessary repetition. If anyone
- 12 before you has already presented testimony that is
- 13 contained in your written or oral comments, please
- 14 skip over those issues when you comment. Please
- 15 remember, all written comments, whether or not you
- 16 say them out loud, will become part of the official
- 17 record and will be considered.
- 18 After everyone has had an opportunity
- 19 to speak, and provided that the time permits, we will
- 20 allow those who either ran out of time during their
- 21 initial comments or who have additional comments to
- 22 speak.
- On the registration card, you can ask
- 24 to receive a summary of the public hearing. The
- 25 Illinois EPA's responsiveness summary will attempt to

- 1 answer all relevant and significant questions that
- 2 were raised at this hearing or submitted to me prior
- 3 to the close of the comment period. The written
- 4 record in this matter will close April 2, 2009. I
- 5 will accept all written comments as long as they are
- 6 postmarked by April 2.
- 7 During the comment period, all relevant
- 8 comments and documents or data will be placed into
- 9 the hearing record as exhibits. Please send all
- 10 written documents or data to my attention. You can
- 11 send them to Rachel Doctors, Hearing Officer,
- 12 Illinois EPA, 1021 North Grand Avenue East, P.O. Box
- 13 19276, Springfield, Illinois 62794. This address is
- 14 also listed on the public notice for the hearing
- 15 tonight.
- 16 For anyone wishing to make a comment or
- 17 ask questions, I would like to remind you that we
- 18 have a court reporter here who will be taking a
- 19 record of these proceedings for the purpose of
- 20 putting together our administrative record.
- 21 Therefore, for her benefit, please keep the general
- 22 background noise in the room to a minimum, so that
- 23 she can hear everything that is said.
- 24 Please keep in mind that any comments
- 25 from someone other than the person who is at the

- 1 microphone will not be recorded by the court
- 2 reporter. If you speak over someone else, she will
- 3 not be able to take everyone's comments in. That
- 4 rule applies not only when members of the audience
- 5 are speaking, but also when someone from Illinois EPA
- 6 or Power Holdings is speaking.
- 7 When it is your turn to speak, please
- 8 state your name, and if applicable, any governmental
- 9 body, organization, or association that you
- 10 represent. For the benefit of the court reporter, we
- 11 ask that you spell your last name.
- 12 People who have requested to speak will
- 13 be called upon in the order that I will lay out based
- 14 upon the cards that I have before me. After I've
- 15 gone through the cards, and assuming that there is
- 16 time, if anyone else wishes to comment, we can
- 17 address it at that time.
- I have marked the following exhibits:
- 19 The public hearing notice, the project summary for
- 20 air pollution control permit application, the draft
- 21 air pollution control construction permit.
- I would like to now ask the Illinois
- 23 EPA staff to introduce themselves, and if they would
- 24 like to make a short opening statement, they may do
- 25 so at this time.

```
1 MR. ROMAINE: My name is Chris
```

- 2 Romaine. I'm manager of the air permit section,
- 3 construction unit. I will not make a statement.
- 4 (Audience member(s) speaking.)
- 5 MR. ROMAINE: My name is Chris
- 6 Romaine. I'm manager of the air permit section,
- 7 construction unit. I will not be making an opening
- 8 statement.
- 9 MR. SMET: Good evening. My name is
- 10 Bob Smet, and I am a permit engineer in the Illinois
- 11 EPA's Bureau of Air. I'll be giving you a brief
- 12 description of the project.
- 13 Power Holdings has requested a
- 14 construction permit to construct a plant to produce
- 15 synthetic natural gas from Illinois coal. The plant
- 16 would use gasification technology and a multistep
- 17 process to convert coal into pipeline quality natural
- 18 gas to be sold to natural gas suppliers. In the
- 19 process, sulfuric acid will also be produced as a
- 20 saleable by-product.
- 21 Because the proposed plant would be a
- 22 major source of emissions, the emissions from the
- 23 plant must be controlled with Best Available Control
- 24 Technology.
- The coal gasification process will be

- 1 very effective in controlling emissions of sulfur
- 2 dioxide and particulate matter. This is because
- 3 these pollutants will be removed by the cleanup
- 4 processes for the raw synthesis gas, or syngas,
- 5 produced by the gasifiers. During normal operation,
- 6 the emissions of carbon monoxide and volatile organic
- 7 materials generated by the syngas cleanup process
- 8 will be emitted at the carbon dioxide vents and
- 9 controlled using regenerative thermal oxidizers.
- 10 Sulfur compounds removed during syngas
- 11 cleanup will be recovered, and sulfuric acid will be
- 12 produced in the two sulfuric acid production
- 13 facilities. These facilities will be controlled by
- 14 selective catalytic reduction to control nitrogen
- oxides and a hydrogen peroxide scrubber to control
- 16 sulfur dioxide.
- 17 Emissions from start-up of the
- 18 gasifiers will be minimized by the use of alcohol
- 19 during start-ups rather than coal. Flaring of gases
- 20 will only occur during process upsets, which should
- 21 only occur intermittently and must be addressed by a
- 22 flaring minimization program.
- For the superheaters, which will burn
- 24 fuel gas to help provide the electric power for the
- 25 plant, Best Available Control Technology for NOx

- 1 (nitrogen oxide) will be the use of selective
- 2 catalytic reduction systems. For carbon monoxide,
- 3 good combustion practices will be utilized.
- 4 Likewise, emissions from material handling and other
- 5 emission units at the plant will be controlled using
- 6 Best Available Control Technology, as well.
- 7 The air quality analysis for the
- 8 project submitted by Power Holdings and reviewed by
- 9 the Illinois EPA shows that the proposed project will
- 10 not impact the air quality significantly, so the
- 11 project will not cause or contribute to violations of
- 12 ambient air quality standards.
- 13 The proposed permit for the plant
- 14 contains limitations on and requirements for
- 15 operation of the plant. The permit also establishes
- 16 appropriate testing, monitoring, record-keeping, and
- 17 reporting requirements. This includes continuous
- 18 emissions monitoring for the emissions of nitrogen
- 19 oxides and carbon monoxide from the auxiliary boiler
- 20 and superheaters. Emissions of sulfur dioxide would
- 21 also have to be monitored if the sulfur content for
- 22 the fuel gas is not monitored.
- In closing, the Illinois EPA is
- 24 proposing to grant a construction permit for the
- 25 proposed Power Holdings project. We welcome your

1 comments or questions on our proposed action. Thank

- 2 you.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Bob. Is
- 4 there anyone who'd like to -- would the
- 5 representatives of Power Holdings now introduce
- 6 themselves.
- 7 MR. DARGUZAS: I'm Joe Darguzas with
- 8 Power Holdings.
- 9 MR. GILPIN: Bob Gilpin, Power
- 10 Holdings.
- 11 (Audience member(s) speaking.)
- 12 HEARING OFFICER: It's on the handle.
- 13 MR. DARGUZAS: Thank you. I'm Joe
- 14 Darguzas with Power Holdings, and Bob Gilpin with
- 15 Power Holdings.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER: Can you please spell
- 17 your names.
- 18 MR. DARGUZAS: My name is spelled
- 19 Darguzas, D-A-R-G-U-Z-A-S. And Gilpin is
- 20 G-I-L-P-I-N.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER: Do you have a
- 22 statement or anything you'd like to present at this
- 23 time?
- 24 MR. DARGUZAS: I would like to make a
- 25 few comments.

```
1
                    (Audience member(s) speaking.)
 2
                   HEARING OFFICER: If people could move
     up, that would also help. There's lots of chairs in
 3
 4
     the front. There must be 20 chairs up here.
 5
                    (Audience member(s) speaking.)
                   HEARING OFFICER: Do you have a
6
7
     statement that you would like to make at this point?
8
                   MR. DARGUZAS: Yes, ma'am. Madame
9
    Hearing Officer and colleagues from the Illinois
10
     Environmental Protection Agency, as I said, my name
11
     is Joe Darguzas with Power Holdings. And I would
12
     like to first acknowledge and thank all of the
     elected officials, as well as others who are here
13
14
     tonight in support of this project and have submitted
15
     written comments or will.
16
                   We've enjoyed the support from many
17
     state agencies that we've been working on this
    project, and we're grateful for that. We also
18
     appreciate the support of the local building trades
19
20
     associations that we will be working with if we
    receive a permit to build this facility.
21
22
                   Friends and neighbors, fellow citizens
```

of the State of Illinois, ladies and gentlemen, this

hearing represents a very important milestone for

Power Holdings. Power Holdings is a small,

23

24

1 privately-held company from Northern Illinois. We've

- 2 been working on this project for over four years. In
- 3 fact, depending on where you draw the boundary, if
- 4 I-80 or Route 30's the boundary, I'm actually from
- 5 Central Illinois.
- 6 We are trying to do something very
- 7 positive for the State of Illinois. We're trying to
- 8 help our state become independent of imported energy,
- 9 especially natural gas. We're trying to improve
- 10 employment. We're trying to make natural gas, so
- 11 that Illinois can become energy independent.
- 12 As you heard, we have a very low
- 13 emissions profile. Our emissions are below
- 14 significant ambient air quality levels. Power
- 15 Holdings is a partner in the Midwest Geological CO2
- 16 Sequestration Consortium, and that issue is very
- 17 important to us.
- To date, although a small company,
- 19 we've spent over \$10 million working on this
- 20 facility. We've brought together a world-class team
- 21 of engineering consultants, including companies from
- 22 Belleville, Salem, Lisle, Benton, Springfield, and
- 23 elsewhere around Illinois and across the United
- 24 States.
- 25 We have equipment suppliers as part of

- 1 our team, including General Electric Company. We
- 2 have contractors able to start construction when
- 3 appropriate permits are received. And we have an
- 4 equity partner with the wherewithal needed for this
- 5 facility to go forward.
- As you heard from the Hearing Officer,
- 7 we are here tonight, along with the Illinois EPA, to
- 8 make sure that our fellow citizens' input to this
- 9 October 27 permit application are heard and
- 10 acknowledged and addressed.
- 11 Since October of 2007, when our revised
- 12 permit application was submitted, we've been working
- 13 very hard to address agency comments, questions, and
- 14 concerns. We've attempted to reach out to our
- 15 neighbors and key stake holders, and we have designed
- 16 a facility to convert Illinois coal to natural gas
- 17 using clean coal technology cleanly and efficiently.
- 18 We have made sure that the equipment
- 19 being designed will be able to meet, to comfortably
- 20 meet the very tight air permit emission limits. I
- 21 personally take these requirements very personally --
- 22 I personally take them very seriously. Finally, we
- 23 encourage everyone here to ask whatever questions on
- 24 air emissions that may be still outstanding. Thank
- 25 you very much.

```
1 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Will
```

- 2 Cliff Polczynski -- have I said your name right?
- 3 Village of DuBois? You need to please come up here
- 4 to speak, so everyone can hear you. Please begin.
- 5 MR. POLCZYNSKI: My big concern --
- 6 HEARING OFFICER: Could you start by
- 7 spelling your name.
- 8 MR. POLCZYNSKI: My name is Cliff
- 9 Polczynski, P-O-L-C-Z-Y-N-S-K-I. My big concern is
- 10 the amount of water that's gonna' be used. Is Rend
- 11 Lake gonna' be able to supply all the water? You
- 12 know, will it affect our drinking water? 'Cause all
- 13 our drinking water for all the little villages around
- 14 here comes out of Rend Lake. That's all I have.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Mary
- 16 Ellen Bechtel. I'm gonna' call a couple of people's
- 17 names. Ted Buck and Fred Kreger. If you could just
- 18 come up and just wait.
- MS. BECHTEL: Hi. I'm Mary -- which
- 20 one do I use? Is this working? It's working now.
- 21 It was off. Okay. I'm Mary Ellen Bechtel with the
- 22 Jefferson County Development Corporation in Mt.
- 23 Vernon, Illinois. B-E-C-H-T-E-L. Please consider
- 24 our presence here our support for Power Holdings of
- 25 Illinois' synthetic natural gas project located in

- 1 Jefferson County.
- 2 Jefferson County Development Board has
- 3 23 board members representing local businesses and
- 4 industry. This project is important to us for
- 5 several reasons. First, this \$2 billion project will
- 6 bring over 1,000 construction jobs to Southern
- 7 Illinois. In this time of economic downturn,
- 8 projects like this are vital to our recovery.
- 9 The proposed 600 direct and indirect
- 10 full-time permanent jobs created by Power Holding's
- 11 project will inject millions of dollars annually into
- 12 the economy, as well as offer stable and reliable
- 13 employment for many years in a region that is
- 14 experiencing unemployment at a much higher rate than
- 15 national averages.
- 16 Also, this project is an example of how
- 17 Southern Illinois coal can be used to reduce our
- 18 dependence on foreign energy sources. The Power
- 19 Holdings project in Jefferson County will manufacture
- 20 about 5 percent of the current volume of natural gas
- 21 in Illinois and will serve as an outstanding example
- 22 of how Illinois residents can depend on their own
- 23 resources for energy.
- 24 In addition, Power Holdings of Illinois
- 25 is using technology that will show that our Southern

- 1 Illinois coal does respect the environment. The
- 2 emissions are below significant air quality impact
- 3 levels and will not result in harmful effects to the
- 4 soil or vegetation in the area. And the IEPA has
- 5 imposed emission limits in accordance with the
- 6 current Best Available Control Technology
- 7 requirements and needed BACT analysis.
- 8 Capital investment, construction jobs,
- 9 full-time jobs with benefits, use of local resources,
- 10 product that will be used in Illinois by Illinois
- 11 residents, and the opportunity to prove we can reduce
- 12 our dependence on foreign energy are the reasons we
- 13 feel it is imperative for this project to move
- 14 forward.
- 15 The Illinois Environmental Protection
- 16 Agency has strictly followed all applicable state and
- 17 federal regulations, and we urge the agency to
- 18 promptly issue a final air permit.
- 19 I also have several other letters of
- 20 support. I'll just name who they're from.
- 21 Congressman Jerry Costello and Congressman John
- 22 Shimkus, Senator John O. Jones, Representative John
- 23 Cavaletto, Representative Jay Hoffman, Representative
- 24 Mike Bost, Representative Dan Wrights, Representative
- 25 Brandon Phelps.

```
1 I have letters from mayors from the
```

- 2 City of Benton, City of Christopher, City of Mt.
- 3 Vernon, City of Marion, and the City of Nashville,
- 4 and the City of Salem. The Village of Waltonville,
- 5 as well. I have a letter of support from Rend Lake
- 6 College Interim President Charlie Holstein, Southern
- 7 Illinois University President Glenn Poshard, the
- 8 South Central Illinois Growth Alliance, the Jefferson
- 9 County Chamber of Commerce, Franklin County Regional
- 10 Economic Development, and the Egyptian Building and
- 11 Construction Trades Council. I would like to submit
- 12 those to you now, if I could.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER: We'll mark them as
- 14 Exhibit 4.
- MS. BECHTEL: Thank you.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER: Ted Buck, please.
- 17 MR. BUCK: Madame Chairman, I'm Ted
- 18 Buck, B-U-C-K, Jefferson County Board Chairman. Four
- 19 years ago, Mr. Gilpin got ahold of the County Board
- 20 and has constantly, on a periodic basis, informed us
- 21 of what's going on with this company and especially
- 22 with the emissions of air emissions. We would like
- 23 to, at this time, to remind the Board that we need
- 24 this permit approved because of employment at
- 25 national, at state, and at county level. I have full

- 1 support of the County Board.
- 2 And someone asked me the other day if
- 3 this would affect our tax basis if we should have an
- 4 enterprise zone in this area. It will not affect the
- 5 levies within the school district and so forth. The
- 6 land that would be sold would go from agriculture to
- 7 commercial immediately, and the taxes would go up.
- 8 So if anybody has any questions about that, I can
- 9 answer them later. Thank you, ma'am.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 11 comment. Fred Kreger? Could James Pelker, Larry
- 12 Spotanski, and Stanley Wisniewski also come forward.
- 13 You can sit up front while you're waiting.
- MR. KREGER: Madame Chairman, my name
- is Fred Kreger, K-R-E-G-E-R. Boy, it's tough to
- 16 follow them two guys. I'd like to speak for the
- 17 babies, not yet born.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER: We can't hear you.
- 19 Have you got the mike on?
- 20 (Audience member(s) speaking.)
- 21 MR. KREGER: Closer? Okay. I'd like
- 22 to speak for the babies, not yet born, that if this
- 23 plant goes in, that they will be forced to breathe
- 24 the air, to drink the water. I'm in favor of jobs.
- 25 And everybody here, I'm sure, is in favor of jobs.

1 But at what cost? If the plant is good, let's build

- 2 it. If it's bad, let's stop it now.
- 3 So I know that the other people that
- 4 are gonna' speak are gonna' cover all the bad
- 5 situations here. But to start with, it's being built
- 6 on a fault, and that means unstable ground. So Power
- 7 Holdings told me on the February 21 Waltonville
- 8 meeting that there was gonna' be six smokestacks I
- 9 call them, and they corrected me, but there are
- 10 gonna' be six. And what I would like to do is take
- 11 you people on a tour of the six smokestacks. Climb
- 12 it with me, and see what I see.
- 13 We all know that in this area, the wind
- 14 blows the majority of the time from the northwest,
- 15 the west, and the southwest, very little out of the
- 16 east. So as we climb this tower, we look around. I
- 17 look to the northwest. Ashley, the little town of
- 18 Ashley, one grade school, one group of kids. Then I
- 19 look to the northeast. There's Woodlawn, two
- 20 schools, two yards full of kids.
- I look to the east, straight east.
- 22 There's Mt. Vernon, big city. I can't even see the
- 23 schools and the children there. Then I look to the
- 24 southeast, and there's Waltonville, two schools, kids
- 25 in the yard playing. Oh, there's Rend Lake between

- 1 Waltonville, and I look, and there's Sesser. They
- 2 all can be seen from these towers. There's their
- 3 school and their kids. And if you look from the
- 4 tower, you can barely see DuQuoin. But you can see
- 5 Tamaroa real well, one school full of schoolkids.
- 6 So my point is, that if this plant, as
- 7 Mr. Bob Gilpin -- I hope I'm saying it right -- told
- 8 me that this is the most modern, the newest
- 9 technology in development. I'm sure that everybody
- 10 here has had something brand new on the market. I
- 11 had a new model automobile. We got recalls and
- 12 recalls and recalls.
- One minute? So anyway, that was what I
- 14 was concerned about. One more minute. I'd like for
- 15 you all just to shut your eyes for one minute. Let's
- 16 relax and enjoy this. June 28, ten years from now.
- 17 Cornfields are green, grass is green, beanfields are
- 18 green. Everything is great. Clear day. Sun's
- 19 shining. 9 o'clock in the morning. And all of a
- 20 sudden, the biggest explosion you ever heard. We had
- 21 a blowup at the Power Holdings plant. And that's
- 22 drifted. A big cloud is drifting, drifting, and
- 23 settles in Rend Lake, and poisons Rend Lake. How
- 24 long would it take?
- 25 So I ask you people to consider it, to

- 1 think about it. In closing, I'd like to -- I'm not
- 2 gonna' sing -- I'm gonna' read the words from a song
- 3 I heard the other day. It went something like this.
- 4 I think the name of the song is "Three Wooden
- 5 Crosses." I'm moving on. "It's not what you take
- 6 when you leave this world, it's what you leave
- 7 behind." Thank you.
- 8 (Audience applause.)
- 9 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. We're not
- 10 gonna' -- we don't have time to clap after the
- 11 speakers, so we're gonna' move on to Mr. James
- 12 Pelker. Mr. Pelker? Larry Spotanski? Is Stanley
- 13 Wisniewski here? How do you pronounce his name?
- 14 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Wisniewski.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
- MR. LARRY SPOTANSKI: My name is Larry
- 17 Spotanski. I'm also --
- 18 HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me. Can you
- 19 spell your last name.
- MR. LARRY SPOTANSKI:
- 21 S-P-O-T-A-N-S-K-I. I'm also Village President or
- 22 some call Mayor of DuBois. You notice my name wasn't
- 23 on no paper for this. And I own land in Jefferson
- 24 and Washington County within a half a mile of where
- 25 this plant would be. And the other concerns I have

1 is, where's the CO2 going? The mercury outlet that's

- 2 supposed to come from here. Dust, noise.
- 3 And I have had comments from several
- 4 people in town about hearing about all the traffic
- 5 that might possibly be going through town with
- 6 trucks. And, well, it was already mentioned about
- 7 the water for homes. Our whole village is served by
- 8 Rend Lake. And also, about the water runoff. I have
- 9 a ditch, creek, or whatever you want to call it, that
- 10 runs through my property, and I would be wondering
- 11 what would be going through there. That's about all
- 12 I have to say.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 14 comment. Stanley Wisniewski?
- 15 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I don't have anything
- 16 to say right now.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Judy
- 18 Spotanski? Linda Borowiak?
- 19 AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're gonna' have to
- 20 have you an interpreter. Do you want me to come up
- 21 there and help?
- 22 HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, yeah, that
- 23 would be helpful. I'm having trouble with some of
- 24 the names. Thank you.
- 25 (Audience member(s) speaking.)

```
1 MS. BOROWIAK: Linda Borowiak,
```

- 2 B-O-R-O-W-I-A-K. I live a mile north of this plant.
- 3 And when it came out in the paper that these Power
- 4 Holdings papers would be in Brehm Library, I went
- 5 over there, and I was just totally overwhelmed by
- 6 it. I'd say it's about three or four inches thick.
- 7 I did not find one word in there about this
- 8 earthquake fault. I've been around it all my life.
- 9 I learned more about it when the oil guys moved into
- 10 the neighborhood.
- Now, if this plant is going to be
- 12 built, and things start to shake, rattle, and roll, I
- 13 cannot begin to imagine what is gonna' be turned
- 14 loose in the air and on the ground. When I was doing
- 15 research for this, I found out that humans could
- 16 actually cause earthquakes. I found this neat little
- 17 article in "National Geographic." And one way they
- 18 do it is by drilling along a fault line.
- 19 Now, this oil field is a mile north of
- 20 my house. And I guess everybody knows that these oil
- 21 wells are, I'd say, about a half a mile from the
- 22 road, so they're about a half a mile from this fault
- 23 line. And they have been pumping oil out of here I
- 24 guess for 20, 22 years. So we're gonna' build this
- 25 plant on the fault line. We're pumping liquids out

of this area. And when will it happen? I don't want

- 2 to be around.
- I want to direct -- I have one question
- 4 for you. Who has the final jurisdiction to allow
- 5 these permits in an earthquake zone?
- 6 MR. ROMAINE: I don't know the answer
- 7 to that question. It's not something that is the
- 8 subject of the permit that we're discussing tonight.
- 9 MS. BOROWIAK: I want to ask, how does
- 10 this plant get a permit in an earthquake zone? What
- 11 is the final say-so on that?
- MR. ROMAINE: I don't have the answer.
- 13 We will have to research that question.
- 14 MS. BOROWIAK: I'm just very concerned
- 15 about this earthquake fault, and I hope everybody
- 16 learns a lot here tonight. Thank you.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 18 comment. Judy Spotanski?
- 19 MS. JUDY SPOTANSKI: My name is Judy
- 20 Spotanski. If you cannot hear, please raise your
- 21 hand. Good evening.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER: Please spell your
- 23 last name.
- MS. JUDY SPOTANSKI: It's spelled
- 25 S-P-O-T-A-N-S-K-I. And I'm attending this meeting

- 1 tonight to voice my disapproval of the proposed plant
- 2 and the permit. This experimental plant, this
- 3 technology has never been proven, is going to make
- 4 syngas from coal. Syngas is ten times dirtier than
- 5 natural gas. So why are we using one energy source
- 6 to make a dirtier energy source?
- 7 I've read the 90-plus page permit,
- 8 which I found to be full of loopholes, ands, ifs, and
- 9 maybes. This company will have a way around every
- 10 incident in this plant. The permit mentions
- 11 start-ups, shutdowns, malfunctions, and such. At
- 12 those times, we do not know what will be emitted from
- 13 those stacks. I am very nervous, so please bear with
- 14 me.
- 15 Read the permit. What about
- 16 explosions, evacuations? My grandchildren wants to
- 17 know, do we need gas masks? What kind of warnings
- 18 will be given about these emissions? When will they
- 19 be given? The self-reporting, record-keeping, and
- 20 EPA notifications mentioned in this permit are a
- 21 joke, a big joke that could cost lives, not only to
- 22 neighborhoods, but employees of the plant, as well.
- 23 Every other day, I hear a different
- 24 number for employees. I have paperwork that mentions
- 25 25 -- no, 50 per day. This was put out by Power

- 1 Holdings of Illinois. I don't understand a lot of
- 2 this, but I wish somebody could explain that to me
- 3 after the meeting.
- 4 Who is watching for illegal dumping and
- 5 other violations? Were these loopholes instrumental
- 6 in choosing this out-of-the-way hidden construction
- 7 site? Can any company be trusted to enforce the
- 8 rules or regulations mentioned in this permit?
- 9 Remember, no one is watching. This is a rural
- 10 community. All of these concerns are caused
- 11 indirectly by plant emissions. This plant is highly
- 12 explosive and could blow at any time, especially on
- 13 an earthquake fault.
- I have copies of the emissions charts,
- 15 if anyone would like it, that I took from the permit,
- 16 showing just what will be emitted into the air from
- 17 six stacks at the plant. One stack is a spare. The
- 18 other five will be used. 1,658 tons of emissions a
- 19 year, or 3,360,000 pounds. If you spread that out
- over 5,000 acres, it will be around 663 pounds an
- 21 acre, year after year after year.
- These emissions are extremely harmful
- 23 to land, water, animals, buildings, yards, creeks,
- 24 ponds, lakes, woods. Can we breathe? Asthma, lung
- 25 disease, heart problems, cancer, etc., etc. How much

- 1 can we take? These emissions go onto our land,
- 2 pollute our wells, wash in our creeks to the rivers,
- 3 lakes, and streams. Your cattle will be drinking
- 4 from this water. People will be drinking this
- 5 water. What will they drink? Eight million gallon
- 6 of water from Rend Lake a day? What about human
- 7 beings? Don't they count for anything?
- 8 There will be between 15 and 20
- 9 children living next-door to this polluting plant.
- 10 Are they to be used as guinea pigs to see how this,
- 11 to determine how long they can dump these emissions
- 12 on us before they become sick or die? Approximately
- 13 35 people's lives are at stake. We love our tiny,
- 14 quiet community, our home. We did not choose to be a
- 15 part of this battle. All we want is peace and
- 16 quiet. Would anyone like this in their back yard?
- 17 Because we will be happy to send it your way.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
- 19 MS. JUDY SPOTANSKI: I know jobs are
- 20 important. But every time I pick up a paper or hear
- 21 a politician speak, it's a different number. My
- 22 husband and I have been at the board members'
- 23 meetings --
- 24 HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me.
- 25 MS. JUDY SPOTANSKI: -- several times.

1 Every time, I look people in the face, and I ask them

- 2 squarely, have you heard anything? Have you had any
- 3 contact from this company? And they will look you
- 4 right in the eye and say, "No."
- 5 HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me.
- 6 MS. JUDY SPOTANSKI: Yet Mr. Buck talks
- 7 about all the meetings they've had. I thank you for
- 8 your time. I'm sorry. This just makes me so nervous
- 9 and so sick. Please help us out. Think of our
- 10 children. Thank you.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 12 comment.
- 13 (Audience applause.)
- 14 HEARING OFFICER: No, I'm sorry. We
- 15 don't have -- you're gonna' have to leave if you
- 16 clap. We will not get through. Everybody deserves
- 17 an opportunity to speak tonight, and if we clap, this
- 18 place, I've been told, closes at midnight. I want
- 19 every single person who wants to ask a question or
- 20 make a comment to have an opportunity.
- 21 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Let's move it on
- 22 then.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Julie Klamm,
- 24 Amy Spotanski, and Mark Spotanski.
- MS. KLAMM: Hello. I'm Julie Klamm,

```
1 and tonight I'm representing IDOT. I'm with District
```

- 2 9, Carbondale Region 5. My last --
- 3 HEARING OFFICER: Can you please --
- 4 MS. KLAMM: -- name is K-L-A-M-M. And
- 5 my question concerns the infrastructure that's going
- 6 to be involved with this entire process, including
- 7 roadways and railways that will be put in. I was
- 8 wondering if there has been an environmental impact
- 9 study done for those type of things.
- 10 MR. ROMAINE: I guess I would ask you,
- 11 why are you asking us this question?
- 12 MS. KLAMM: Because I've not -- I mean,
- 13 I've learned of this, and I've not heard anything at
- 14 our office. Nobody's contacted me.
- 15 MR. ROMAINE: Well, those are matters
- 16 that I would assume would be addressed by IDOT, and
- 17 we're the --
- MS. KLAMM: That's why I'm here.
- 19 MR. ROMAINE: -- Illinois EPA.
- 20 AUDIENCE MEMBER: We can't hear you.
- MR. ROMAINE: We do not have the
- 22 answers to those questions. I would again direct you
- 23 to your office to get the answers to those
- 24 questions.
- MS. KLAMM: Well, we are supposed to --

- 1 we're interagency coordination. We're supposed to
- 2 coordinate with each other on these things. And so
- 3 we would like to get a copy, please, of the
- 4 environmental impact study concerning increased
- 5 traffic. We would like to get a copy of the study
- 6 that concerns all of the infrastructure that will be
- 7 involved with it, including the raillines, utilities
- 8 including pipelines that go in that cross our
- 9 roadways, increased traffic, loads on bridges.
- 10 And then the environmental impact
- 11 study, how it will affect the wetlands, what
- 12 mitigation will be taking place, archeological
- 13 impacts in all these areas, because this is a large
- 14 archeological area. Private important farmland
- 15 that's impacted, which permits have to be gotten from
- 16 the Department of Agriculture, IDNR, U.S. Army Corps
- 17 of Engineers.
- 18 And then also, I was wondering about
- 19 the air quality. I know for us, we have to have
- 20 monitoring done, also. So I was wondering if you,
- 21 with the monitoring, how often it's monitored, and if
- 22 there are reports that are issued, and how regularly
- 23 they're issued.
- MR. ROMAINE: Are you referring to
- 25 ambient air monitoring conducted in the State of

- 1 Illinois?
- MS. KLAMM: Yes.
- 3 MR. ROMAINE: We conduct ambient
- 4 monitoring on a continuous basis. This monitors
- 5 throughout the state. And we prepare an annual
- 6 emission report that's available on the Internet.
- 7 MS. KLAMM: That's once per year?
- 8 MR. ROMAINE: No. The report is
- 9 provided once per year as a public document. The
- 10 ambient monitoring is continuous.
- 11 MS. KLAMM: Okay. So anybody that's
- 12 concerned can get on-line and check the results of
- 13 that at any given time?
- MR. ROMAINE: Yes, they can.
- 15 MS. KLAMM: Okay. That's all I have.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 18 comment. Amy Spotanski?
- 19 MS. AMY SPOTANSKI: Hi. My name is Amy
- 20 Spotanski. It's S-P-O-T-A-N-S-K-I. This plant will
- 21 greatly affect our community, along with many
- 22 surrounding communities. I have so many concerns.
- 23 The biggest, of course, is how this is going to
- 24 affect my family. Some of the issues that seem to
- 25 raise immediate question to me are as follows: The

- 1 smell.
- 2 If this is anything like the plant in
- 3 North Dakota -- and I've talked to several people in
- 4 Dakota -- there is definitely a smell. I don't see
- 5 how you cannot have a smell with the wide variety of
- 6 by-products that are created with this process. Just
- 7 sulfuric acid alone is bad enough. In addition to my
- 8 conversations, I had inquired about living close to
- 9 the plant, and was told you would absolutely not want
- 10 to live anywhere near it, because of the smell and
- 11 also the lighting 24 hours a day.
- 12 The holding ponds. Tell me how you can
- 13 guarantee that something like what happened in
- 14 Eastern Tennessee on December 22, 2008, and again on
- January 9, 2009, won't happen here, where these
- 16 holding ponds failed twice. Or when we have one of
- 17 our many two-inch plus rains in just a couple of
- 18 hours. And how will these holding ponds be able to
- 19 contain any and all runoff? If something would
- 20 happen, the contamination would be so widespread.
- 21 You can see this, for example, just by
- 22 the last rain on February 11, where just this little
- 23 creek behind our home was well out of its banks, over
- 24 the road in several places, and just a hundred yards
- 25 from our home. This happens a couple of times a

1 year. All this water travels on downstream and

- 2 continues and continues.
- 3 Traffic. No one can seem to tell us
- 4 about how exactly the product of coal will be
- 5 entering the plant, whether by rail, semi, or even
- 6 pipeline, or how any of our by-products will be
- 7 leaving the plant. If by rail, you have the dust off
- 8 the coal being carried by rail cars. And which way
- 9 does the wind usually blow? West to east. And there
- 10 seems to be a breeze here almost every day.
- 11 With 5 million tons of coal a year,
- 12 which equals about 13,700 tons of coal a day, there
- 13 will be constant switching of rail cars, which, in
- 14 turn, will create a lot of dust from the shaking of
- 15 that coal. If by semi, again 13,700 tons of coal a
- 16 day, and a semi can hold approximately 30 tons,
- 17 that's over 500 semis a day, 20 semis an hour, and
- 18 one semi every 3 minutes, 24 hours a day, 365 days a
- 19 year.
- 20 And not only the dust from the coal,
- 21 but also the dust from the roads. These roads here
- 22 are just oil and chip. The dust rolls now just with
- 23 farm equipment or a vehicle traveling by. Sorry,
- 24 guys. I'm really nervous. Not to mention when the
- 25 coal is dumped throughout the day either by rail or

1 semi. There is no way you can keep the dust from

- 2 leaving the plant and settling on our surrounding
- 3 areas.
- 4 It's different living in a neighborhood
- 5 where you have houses all around you versus a
- 6 gasification plant. We moved from a quiet
- 7 neighborhood over four years ago and wanted a quiet
- 8 rural country and locating on the family farm. We
- 9 did not expect such a project to jeopardize our fresh
- 10 air and quiet living.
- If this plant should become a reality,
- 12 I can't let my kids play outside and not be concerned
- 13 for their safety. With the different particles and
- 14 pollutants coming from the plant, they have to land
- 15 somewhere.
- 16 Although the plant may have a main
- 17 entrance, you cannot prevent an increase of traffic
- on our rural roads, whether it be construction
- 19 traffic or plant operation traffic, not to mention
- 20 the some 8 million gallons of water a day from Rend
- 21 Lake that will be used. And what about the noise?
- 22 Lastly, I would like to ask why someone
- 23 from Power Holdings has never so much as offered to
- 24 contact us. This project location has been in the
- 25 workings now for at least two years. And if it is

- 1 supposed to be such a terrific addition to Southern
- 2 Illinois, why is everyone trying to hide it? Thank
- 3 you.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 5 comment. Mark Spotanski, Chad Kujawa, Barbara
- 6 McKasson, and Bernard Bauza, if you could come
- 7 forward to be ready.
- 8 MR. MARK SPOTANSKI: My name is Mark
- 9 Spotanski. That's S-P-O-T-A-N-S-K-I. I kind of had
- 10 a little bit of a speech made out here, but I'd be
- 11 duplicating a lot of what my wife just said if I was
- 12 to read it. A big concern of mine, on the permit
- 13 application, they show on there that the operator of
- 14 this plant is to be determined. Now, how can you
- 15 issue a permit when you don't know the past history,
- or who the operator's gonna' be, or if this operator
- 17 has had any repeated violations, you know, with EPA
- 18 regulations and so forth?
- I myself, too, have approached the
- 20 County Board on a couple of occasions, and was told
- 21 the same thing, We don't know anything. Well,
- 22 evidently there was a lot of meetings going on that
- 23 we weren't informed about. And I, too, am very
- 24 concerned about the health of my children, the
- 25 welfare of my family, neighbors.

```
1 If I was to stand on my back porch,
```

- 2 this plant would be 400 yards from my back door, and
- 3 I live on the east side of this proposed site. We
- 4 all know, like everybody's been saying here this
- 5 evening, the direction the wind blows. Anything and
- 6 everything that goes on at that plant is gonna' be
- 7 dumped in my back yard, on my buildings. Anything
- 8 from air pollution, odors, noise, smell, you name it,
- 9 if it's coming out of them stacks, it's landing on my
- 10 land.
- I, too, am nervous. I'm not used to
- 12 speaking in front of people. But I will say, whether
- 13 you're for this plant or against it, I appreciate
- 14 everybody showing up. It seems as though we've got a
- 15 lot of people in this area that are concerned about
- 16 this. That's all I have. Thank you.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. John
- 18 Kerry? Ted -- oh, I'm sorry. Chad Kujawa. I'm
- 19 sorry. Kujawa.
- MR. CHAD KUJAWA: Chad Kujawa.
- 21 K-U-J-A-W-A. I live right across from Mark
- 22 Spotanski. And right a quarter of a mile from this
- 23 plant where it's gonna' be, there's gonna' be 21 kids
- 24 affected by this. What's their future gonna' hold?
- 25 I grew up playing in the creeks, up and down the

1 roads, out in the fields. What have they got to look

- 2 forward to? The noise, the smell. What's it gonna'
- 3 do to the wildlife, to the fish, to the livestock?
- 4 That's my questions.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Barbara
- 6 McKasson?
- 7 MS. McKASSON: My name is Barbara
- 8 McKasson, M-C-capital-K-A-S-S-O-N. And I am chair of
- 9 Shawnee Group, Sierra Club, which has members in 22
- 10 counties in Southern Illinois. I am, however, giving
- 11 testimony as an individual. I'm here to urge the
- 12 Illinois EPA to deny the PSD air permit for the
- 13 proposed Power Holdings' synthetic gas plant.
- 14 My main objection is that the permit
- 15 does not address the CO2 emissions that are projected
- 16 for the plant. CO2 is known to be the major
- 17 pollutant causing global warming, with over 55
- 18 percent of the global warming gases being caused by
- 19 burning of fossil fuels, according to the latest
- 20 report by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
- 21 Change, the IPCC.
- The U.S. Courts and the Federal
- 23 Environmental Appeals Board have ruled that the EPA
- 24 has the authority to regulate CO2 under the Clean Air
- 25 Act. Climate scientists are almost unanimous in the

- 1 opinion that human activity has caused global
- 2 warming, and that the global warming is causing
- 3 climate change.
- 4 Currently the Obama Administration,
- 5 through the U.S. EPA, is in the process of deciding
- 6 how to regulate CO2 emissions, and that is why I
- 7 think this should be considered in this permit.
- 8 In the Congressional declaration for
- 9 the purposes of the Clean Air Act, the first and most
- 10 important purpose is "to protect and enhance the
- 11 quality of the nation's air resources, so as to
- 12 promote the public health and welfare." The public
- 13 health is my main concern, also.
- 14 Global warming is quickly becoming the
- 15 biggest threat to public health and welfare. The
- 16 IPCC 4th report, page 9 of the summary for
- 17 policy-makers states that human health, this is a
- 18 quote, "Human health in populations with low adaptive
- 19 capacity are likely to be especially affected by
- 20 climate change."
- 21 The summary goes on to say that "even
- those areas with high incomes, some people such as
- 23 the poor, young children, and the elderly can be
- 24 particularly at risk." When threat to our health and
- 25 welfare is the effect that global warming and climate

- 1 change has on agriculture.
- 2 According to Dr. Steven Kraft, a
- 3 professor of agriculture at Southern Illinois
- 4 University in Carbondale, says farmers in Illinois
- 5 are already experiencing the effects of a changing
- 6 and unpredictable climate. Here in Southern
- 7 Illinois, crops have been damaged by weather events
- 8 that are increasingly unpredictable, such as ice
- 9 storms, high winds, early spring warming followed by
- 10 late freezes, extreme drought, and extreme rains.
- 11 In fact, scientists predict that
- 12 because of global warming, the Midwest is an area
- 13 that will experience a climate that shifts from
- 14 decade to decade. This will make farming on
- 15 America's most productive land a much riskier
- 16 business and will threaten America's food supply,
- 17 which I think is more important even than fuel
- 18 supply.
- 19 At a forum in September 2008, Dr. Kraft
- 20 stated, "If precipitation is out of sync with the way
- 21 a crop is maturing, a farmer may not get that full
- 22 crop of corn." This means that when it rains, it
- 23 probably isn't as important as how much it rains. So
- 24 the timing of the rain is very important.
- 25 He also stated that although CO2

- 1 stimulates the growth of some crops, it also
- 2 stimulates the growth of weeds that compete with
- 3 those crops.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER: One minute.
- 5 MS. McKASSON: Okay. What do I cut
- 6 out? Dr. Kraft used the example of an unusual
- 7 windstorm that swept across much of the U.S. the
- 8 night of September 15, 2008, which knocked down whole
- 9 fields of corn. If the corn gets knocked down, it is
- 10 harder to harvest. It is also more prone to getting
- 11 fungus. Cattle would not want to eat that corn. And
- 12 many corn elevators would not even take that corn.
- 13 That is just one example of the kinds
- 14 of significant events that will increase as a result
- 15 of climate change caused by global warming and that
- 16 would be increased by the CO2 emissions of the
- 17 proposed Power Holdings' coal gasification plant.
- 18 That is ten million tons a year of CO2.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 20 comments. Bernard Bauza? Would you like to submit
- 21 that? You can enter your thing into the record. It
- would be No. 5.
- MS. McKASSON: Okay.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER: Does it have your
- 25 name on it?

```
1 MS. McKASSON: Yes, right up there.
```

- 2 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. We'll mark it
- 3 as 5.
- 4 MR. BAUZA: Hello. My name is Bernard
- 5 Bauza, B-A-U-Z-A, 1412 East Salsbury Road, Ashley,
- 6 Illinois. I live about a mile east of the Washington
- 7 County/Jefferson line, where the plant's gonna' be
- 8 built. My concern is for all the children that live
- 9 around there. And I'd like to have my grandson come
- 10 out and visit me and breathe some fresh air. But if
- 11 they put this plant up, emissions of sulfur dioxide
- 12 and minute amounts of mercury, that's totally
- 13 unacceptable, this mercury stuff. And sulfur
- 14 dioxide, it stinks. So that's all I gotta' say.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 16 comment. John Kerry?
- 17 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Pass for now.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER: Ted Schanafelt?
- 19 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Schanafelt ain't it,
- 20 Schanafelt?
- 21 HEARING OFFICER: Schanafelt? Bradley
- 22 Hill and Ben Kujawa.
- MR. PATRICK KUJAWA: Yes. I'm Patrick
- 24 Kujawa, K-U-J-A-W-A. We live within a quarter of a
- 25 mile of this. We have farmland, and our pasture land

- 1 will be affected by the runoff. Our cattle will be
- 2 drinking out of a creek that the flood water, that
- 3 our bottomland will be affected. Uh, we have, uh --
- 4 we water our cattle out of spring wells that I'm
- 5 worried about underground water contamination. And,
- 6 uh, I guess that's really about all I got to say
- 7 right now.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 9 comment. John Kerry? Oh, he passed. Okay. Ted
- 10 Schanafelt?
- 11 MR. SCHANAFELT: All ready to go.
- 12 Right? I got some documents I want to give to the
- 13 recorder, so I don't have to mail them to you.
- 14 Okay?
- 15 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Do they have
- 16 your name on them?
- 17 MR. SCHANAFELT: On the very last page,
- 18 right. I've got two questions, 'cause some of the
- 19 questions have already been answered or were already
- 20 asked. And what I'm asking you is I'd like to know
- 21 what the capacity of Rend Lake is right now. The
- 22 Corps of Engineers have not had anything done since
- 23 it was built, and it's been filled in quite a bit.
- 24 So I need -- I'd like to have an answer to that
- 25 question.

- Now, the Illinois DNR has already give
- 2 permits to three new coal mines, eight million gallon
- 3 apiece, and we got a new alcohol plant in Benton,
- 4 Illinois. And I didn't get any numbers on how many
- 5 gallons a day it's gonna' take. Now, with all this
- 6 source of gallons going, I don't know how the
- 7 Illinois DNR can possibly give all this water away,
- 8 when they have no idea how much they have.
- 9 Now, nobody has run the Illinois DNR
- 10 for about 20 years except a politician. Nobody in
- 11 the department has ever run it that knows anything
- 12 about this type of stuff. So I'd like to know what
- 13 someone thinks about that. That's all I've got for
- 14 right now. Thanks.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 16 comments. Bradley Hill?
- 17 MR. HILL: Brad Hill, B-R-A-D,
- 18 H-I-L-L. I actually live a pretty light stone throw
- 19 away from my front porch from where the plant's
- 20 gonna' be, proposed plant's gonna' be built. And you
- 21 had mentioned a while ago, I believe the gentleman
- 22 here in the front, mentioned the ambient levels. And
- 23 I don't know all the numbers on what ambient levels
- 24 are. But there's definitely a concern what ambient
- 25 levels are safe.

```
1 And many people have talked about the
```

- 2 particular contraindications with health concerning
- 3 emissions and what you take in. But I want to just
- 4 read a couple of things. Since a lot of questions
- 5 aren't being directly answered, I want to just share
- 6 a few things here. And what's been stated about
- 7 particulate matter, which is only one of ten of the
- 8 toxins that are being absorbed and exposed.
- 9 There's over 65 tons per year of just
- 10 particulate matter alone. And what particulate
- 11 matter does is actually penetrate deeply into the
- 12 lungs, and they're captured by lung tissue. They're
- 13 directly associated with respiratory and cardiac
- 14 problems and infections, asthmas, lung cancers, and
- 15 decreased life expectancy.
- 16 The World Health Organization estimates
- 17 500,000 premature deaths each year associated with
- 18 particulate matter pollution. 500,000 premature
- 19 deaths. A recent study found that even a small
- 20 increase of particulate matter, which you had
- 21 mentioned 2.5 microns, which is pretty seriously
- 22 small, results in significant increases in
- 23 mortality. Now, we all know what mortality means.
- 24 The American Lung Association believes
- 25 that particulate matter at 2.5 represents the most

- 1 serious threat to our health. The more susceptible
- 2 to particulate matter pollution includes -- the
- 3 people that are the most susceptible are children,
- 4 athletes, senior citizens, and people with
- 5 preexisting respiratory problems. That's all I've
- 6 gotta' say about the PM.
- 7 But how it directly affects me, and I
- 8 guess I have to ask a question. How close can a
- 9 plant be built close to a school? Can you answer
- 10 that, even though it's not directly an air quality
- 11 question?
- MR. ROMAINE: There is no specific
- 13 distance that is required to separate a manufacturing
- 14 plant from a school. What we require is that the air
- 15 quality at the property line of the plant meet the
- 16 ambient air quality standards.
- MR. HILL: Okay.
- 18 MR. ROMAINE: So that means wherever
- 19 the plant is located, on the far side of the fence
- 20 line, if you walk by it, the air quality should be
- 21 safe.
- 22 MR. HILL: Okay. My question would be,
- 23 beings I have a home school, I have eight children
- 24 and the home school directly next to this plant, is
- who's gonna' be checking the air quality of my

- 1 school?
- 2 MR. ROMAINE: We do not do monitoring
- 3 in homes, private residences. We monitor ambient air
- 4 in public locations. We will monitor the emissions
- 5 coming out of the plant with continuous monitors for
- 6 key pollutants in the various units of the plant.
- 7 MR. HILL: Okay. I have a direct
- 8 concern concerning most of these toxins. And I'll
- 9 cut it short. I have a lot of facts here, and I have
- 10 a whole folder over at the table that actually is two
- 11 inches deep on the effects of emissions and what it's
- 12 gonna' do directly to those people exposed.
- One of my children was born with a
- 14 heart defect. And so even though right now she's
- 15 doing fine, it's a rare defect, and so if there's any
- 16 oxygen restriction or depletion in her blood, in her
- 17 blood oxygen levels, if there's a depletion of that,
- 18 there is a risk for her to actually have some serious
- 19 contraindications with some air quality there.
- 20 Okay?
- 21 So nitrogen oxide's another concern.
- 22 This causes bronchitis, pneumonia, reduces resistance
- 23 to infection. The presence of this nitro dioxide in
- 24 the atmosphere can have synergistic effects with
- other pollutants. Frequent long-term exposure can

1 and will increase the incidence of acute respiratory

- 2 illness in children. They basically do this because
- 3 children are small. They run around a lot. They
- 4 take in more air than all of us. So they kind of
- 5 give examples with children mainly because of that.
- The sulfur dioxide reduces respiratory
- 7 volume, increases breathing resistance in those
- 8 exposed. Sulfur dioxide increases nasal airway
- 9 resistance. Research shows that daily mortality
- 10 rates are consistently associated with sulfur dioxide
- 11 and higher ozone levels.
- 12 Folks, this is imminent increases in
- 13 and around this plant. I don't know how close you
- 14 are to the plant. Even though this is right on top
- of me and on top of others right directly around me,
- 16 it's gonna' affect everybody in a very large area.
- 17 That's my comments.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 19 comment. Ben Kujawa, Vic Talbert, Louis Bauza, Jean
- 20 Kujawa, and Dennis Taylor.
- 21 MR. BEN KUJAWA: Okay. You guys want
- 22 to create jobs, why don't we do it --
- 23 HEARING OFFICER: Please state your
- 24 name, and spell your last name, please.
- 25 MR. BEN KUJAWA: K-U-J-A-W-A, Ben

```
1 Kujawa. If you want to spend the tax dollars --
```

- 2 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you.
- MR. BEN KUJAWA: I have no comment. I
- 4 live right next to it, and I'm so shooken up.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER: Please use the
- 6 microphone. We want to hear what you have to say.
- 7 MR. BEN KUJAWA: Well, I'm just against
- 8 the place. I don't want it in the neighborhood. I
- 9 don't want it in Southern Illinois. How many plants
- 10 do we have to put in the Southern Illinois area? I
- 11 mean, we got three already here. We got Baldwin,
- 12 Lively Grove, and now this one. How much more -- and
- 13 I know there's a bunch of other ones. How many more
- 14 do we need out there in one area? I mean, come on.
- We could spend money on solar panels
- 16 and wind energy, and then we could create jobs that
- 17 way. Why with coal? Why can't we leave that coal
- 18 for reserves and leave it there, so it could be used
- 19 for later use if needed? That's all I have to say.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 21 comment. Vic Talbert, Louis Bauza.
- 22 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER: Vic Talbert, Louis
- 24 Bauza, Jean Kujawa, Dennis Taylor.
- MR. LOUIS BAUZA: My name is Louis

- 1 Bauza, B-A-U-Z-A. My main concern is about the
- 2 mercury. You got the high-energy light bulbs right
- 3 now. And if you happen to break one of those,
- 4 they're telling you to go and get medical attention.
- 5 Now, that's just a small thing of mercury. What's
- 6 this plant gonna' leave us with? There's a lot more
- 7 in that plant than in one light bulb. That's all I
- 8 have.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Jean
- 10 Kujawa?
- 11 MS. JEAN KUJAWA: Hello. Hello. Is it
- 12 on? Okay.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Really
- 14 project.
- MS. JEAN KUJAWA: My name is Jean
- 16 Kujawa, J-E-A-N, K-U-J-A-W-A. I'm not good at
- 17 talking, either, so bear with me. My husband,
- 18 Patrick, and I  $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$  he just got done talking a few
- 19 minutes ago -- we live right on the farm that will be
- 20 about a quarter of a mile from the proposed plant,
- 21 and this farm has been in my husband's family since
- 22 1918, and we hope to hand it down to our sons and
- 23 their family one day, too.
- 24 Air pollution is an important concern
- 25 for us. I know this is an air permit meeting, but

- 1 when we talk about air pollution, to me, it concerns
- 2 other things being polluted, as well, because as they
- 3 say, what goes up in the air eventually has to come
- 4 down.
- We farm for a living, growing crops and
- 6 raising cattle as a food source for ourselves and
- 7 others. Our neighbors even supply us with fresh
- 8 eggs. And our children hunt, fish, and trap, and
- 9 that's also a source of food for me and my family.
- 10 Our grandchildren play outside in the yards, woods,
- 11 walk in the creeks. And our cattle feed on pasture
- 12 and drink from the ponds and from the creek where
- 13 this water runoff comes from the land where the
- 14 proposed plant will be built. We also have
- 15 spring-fed wells where we get water for our feeder
- 16 cattle. The pollutants that would be emitted from
- 17 this plant will contaminate all this.
- 18 Mercury in the water supply. Carbon
- 19 dioxide will produce acid rain on our crops and lands
- 20 and buildings. Even the coal dust from the railroad
- 21 cars bringing in the coal will be another air
- 22 pollutant. These are just a few. It might not have
- 23 an effect on us right now, but accumulation of these
- 24 contaminants over weeks, months, and years will all
- 25 take a terrible toll on us and our environment. We

- 1 will develop a lot of health problems.
- It won't just stay in our neighborhood,
- 3 either. The winds will blow and carry, and these
- 4 contaminants will go for miles away to neighboring
- 5 towns, lakes, and creeks. Power Holdings proposed to
- 6 construct this plant directly, also, on a fault line,
- 7 which is the Centralia Fault Line, and there's also
- 8 the DuQuoin Fault Line right next to it, which is
- 9 west of the County Line Road.
- 10 If there is an earthquake, what will be
- 11 the significant emission rate of air pollutants and
- 12 ground pollutants when this happens? There will be a
- 13 lot of farmland ruined because of it, too. We're
- 14 losing farmland more each year. What will happen to
- 15 our food source then?
- As for the plant bringing jobs, I'm for
- 17 jobs, too. But there are cleaner, more cleaner
- 18 energy sources to create jobs, and in the process,
- 19 have a cleaner and safer environment to live in.
- 20 Cleaner air has to be all our concern, Sierra Club,
- 21 EPA, Power Holdings, and the people of this
- 22 community. We all will be breathing the same air.
- 23 That's all I have to say right now. Thank you.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Dennis
- 25 Taylor?

```
1 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Pass.
```

- 2 HEARING OFFICER: Becki Clayborn?
- 3 (Discussion held off the record.)
- 4 MS. CLAYBORN: My name is Becki
- 5 Clayborn, last name C-L-A-Y-B-O-R-N. I'm a regional
- 6 representative of the Sierra's Club's National Coal
- 7 Campaign. Sierra Club has 1.3 million members and
- 8 supporters nationwide, and here in Illinois, we have
- 9 about 24,000 members.
- 10 First, I want to say thank you to all
- 11 of these people. This is amazing how many people
- 12 came out to this hearing. I've been to many a
- 13 hearing, and sometimes there's five people. The fact
- 14 that you care this much about your community,
- 15 whichever way you care, is huge. And I fully
- 16 encourage every one of you to get up there, get up
- 17 here and participate in this public hearing, because
- 18 that's what Sierra Club's all about, public
- 19 participation and making sure that you have a say in
- 20 what happens in your community.
- 21 Sierra Club works primarily to ensure
- 22 clean air, clean water, and land protection locally,
- 23 statewide, and nationally. Right now, the Sierra
- 24 Club's number one priority has become climate
- 25 change. The reason climate change has become our

- 1 number one priority is because it affects clean
- 2 water, clean air, and our lands. If we don't address
- 3 the global warming problem, nothing else we do to
- 4 protect water and air and land really means
- 5 anything.
- 6 We understand that according to top
- 7 scientists, the International -- what is it?
- 8 International Panel --
- 9 MS. McKASSON: Intergovernmental Panel
- 10 on Climate Change.
- 11 MS. CLAYBORN: -- Intergovernmental
- 12 Panel on Climate Change -- thank you, Barb -- we
- 13 understand that the top scientists in the world are
- 14 looking at our climate and saying we need to reduce
- our global warming emissions 80 percent by 2050.
- 16 This power plant is only going to increase the global
- 17 warming emissions. This plant, actually, I don't
- 18 know how much CO2 it's going to emit. That's a
- 19 question to you guys. Do you know the amount of
- 20 carbon dioxide that will be emitted by this power
- 21 plant?
- 22 MR. ROMAINE: I think the estimate by
- 23 Ms. McKasson is reasonable, ten million tons per year
- 24 would be the potential emissions of CO2.
- AUDIENCE MEMBER: How many?

```
1 MS. CLAYBORN: Ten million tons a
```

- 2 year. That was an estimate that we made according to
- 3 how much coal was gonna' be gasified. And by my
- 4 calculations, ten million tons a year is about the
- 5 equivalent of putting 1.8 million cars, additional
- 6 cars on the road. It's a significant amount of
- 7 carbon dioxide.
- If anyone's interested, there are some
- 9 handouts on one of the tables back there that has a
- 10 chart. And I would like to actually submit this as
- 11 part of the proceedings. There is a chart that shows
- 12 the life cycle of greenhouse gas emissions from
- 13 different power sources.
- 14 The smallest one is natural gas, 1,250
- 15 pounds of CO2 per megawatt hour. Liquid natural gas,
- 16 which is just a natural gas that we get from
- 17 somewhere else that's liquified and shipped in, is a
- 18 little bit more, 1,600. Coal, burning coal produces
- 19 2,270 pounds of CO2 per megawatt hour. That's a
- 20 lot.
- 21 But then synthetic natural gas, which
- 22 is basically taking coal and natural gas and putting
- 23 all those CO2 numbers together, that is 3,550 pounds
- of CO2 equivalent. I don't know if you can see
- 25 this. If you can't see it, come to me, and I'll get

1 you more. I'll get you one. Can I keep using it,

- 2 though?
- 3 HEARING OFFICER: Yes. You're at one
- 4 minute.
- 5 MS. CLAYBORN: Oh, thank you. So this
- 6 is a highly, it is a huge source of CO2, worse than a
- 7 regular coal-fired power plant. And CO2 needs to be
- 8 addressed in this permit. As Barb had mentioned, the
- 9 EPA has the authority to regulate CO2, and I'd like
- 10 to know why Illinois EPA has not put CO2 in this
- 11 permit.
- MR. ROMAINE: At this point in time,
- 13 CO2 has not been regulated by U.S. EPA. We
- 14 administer the program on behalf of U.S. EPA. We
- 15 have to await action by U.S. EPA before we would
- 16 address CO2.
- 17 MS. CLAYBORN: It's my understanding
- 18 that the Environmental Appeals Board has, in two
- 19 cases now, told a state to go back and look at their
- 20 air permit and make sure to address CO2.
- 21 MR. ROMAINE: That's not correct. In
- 22 one case, they actually told U.S. EPA to go back and
- 23 examine its approach. The Environmental Appeals
- 24 Board said that U.S. EPA, that is the administration
- 25 of U.S. EPA, needs to better explain its position on

- 1 CO2.
- MS. CLAYBORN: Sure. I'm gonna' come
- 3 back --
- 4 HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
- 5 MS. CLAYBORN: -- because I do have a
- 6 lot more questions, but I want to make sure that
- 7 everybody in here has an opportunity to speak before
- 8 I sit here and ask you a ton of technical things.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 11 comment. Do you want to put that in as an exhibit
- 12 now?
- MS. CLAYBORN: Sure.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER: Or do you want to
- 15 wait and do all your exhibits at one time, all your
- 16 documents?
- MS. CLAYBORN: Oh, we can do that.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER: Rick Nowland, Charles
- 19 Spotanski, Verena Owen, and Walter Wagner.
- MR. NOWLAND: My name is Rick Nowland.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER: Can you please spell
- 22 your last name.
- MR. NOWLAND: N-O-W-L-A-N-D. I went to
- 24 the informational meeting that Power Holdings had at
- 25 Waltonville Fire Station, and I talked to a gentleman

- 1 up there and asked him some questions. And I asked
- 2 him where the coal was gonna' come from that was
- 3 gonna' be used at this coal gasification plant. He
- 4 told me it was gonna' be provided by two underground
- 5 mines in Washington County.
- I asked him about the delivery of this
- 7 coal, and he told me that the coal would be ground
- 8 into powder, pumped underground via an underground
- 9 pipeline to this facility.
- 10 So if Power Holdings is gonna' use one
- 11 million gallons of water a day at this coal
- 12 gasification plant, and they plan on pumping five
- 13 million tons of clean coal -- I suppose it's clean
- 14 coal -- underground to this facility, where are they
- 15 gonna' get the water to pump it to this facility?
- 16 Where are these mines gonna' be located? And how
- 17 much water are they gonna' need to pump this slurry
- 18 and process the coal?
- 19 So I talked to the gentleman further,
- 20 and I asked him -- he was telling me about the, uh,
- 21 all the technology in this coal gasification plant.
- 22 And so I asked him if there was another plant in
- 23 operation anywhere in the country designed like this
- 24 plant. And he told me that we've taken all the
- 25 technology that's in this plant and combined it and

1 engineered it so everything will work at this

- 2 facility.
- 3 So I asked him point blank. I said,
- 4 "So you're telling me that this is a prototype?"
- 5 And he said, "Yes, this is a prototype." So he went
- on to tell me some other things, and, uh, he said
- 7 something about we've got a catch pond for runoff
- 8 water. He said, "There'll be no runoff water at this
- 9 plant. We've engineered this catch pond to catch 3
- 10 inches of rain in a 24-hour period."
- 11 And so I asked him, I said, "Okay.
- 12 What are you gonna' do about the gully washers we get
- 13 sometimes when we get five or six inches of rain
- 14 overnight?" And he stammered around a little bit.
- 15 He said, "My God," he said, "we figured three inches
- 16 was gonna' catch all the rain." So I said, "No," I
- 17 said, "we get big rains like that, not very often,
- 18 but we get them." So he said, "Well, I guess I'm
- 19 gonna' have to go back and re-engineer that."
- 20 So I work in the coal industry. I'm
- 21 all for jobs. But from what, after I talked to this
- 22 guy, it just seems like this plant and all its ideas
- 23 has got some holes in it. And I'd like to see jobs
- 24 come to this area, but unless it's proven technology
- 25 that's not gonna' cause any damage or runoff or

1 anything, I don't think it oughta' be installed.

- 2 Thank you.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 4 comment. Verena Owen. Oh. Am I out of order?
- 5 MS. OWEN: I think you're out of order.
- 6 MR. CHARLES SPOTANSKI: Yeah. My name
- 7 is Charles Spotanski, and I, too, like Rick Nowland
- 8 -- and my name is S-P-O-T-A-N-S-K-I -- went to the
- 9 Waltonville meeting there on February 21. And I
- 10 spent four hours, four hours in there, and I went
- 11 through with three different people from Power
- 12 Holdings, and two of the gentlemen are sitting right
- 13 here. And I got different answers from every one of
- 14 them.
- 15 And I didn't do a lot of talking. I
- 16 was with people more, and I done a lot of writing.
- 17 And when I get to my report here, there's gonna' be
- 18 like questions and answers which was on the board and
- 19 how they were asked and how they were answered. So
- 20 I'm gonna' throw that out, and you'll see what I'm
- 21 talking about.
- 22 And I'd also like to know this \$10
- 23 million that's been spent so far, how much of that is
- 24 grant money? Can anybody answer that? I guess not.
- Okay. Emissions. Total amount of

1 emissions allowed as per air permit request for

- 2 unproven technology. Just like Rick said, it's
- 3 unproven. No regulations for capture of CO2 carbon
- 4 dioxide mentioned in the 90-page request for air
- 5 permit. What will happen to the tons of CO2
- 6 emitted?
- 7 Compared to natural gas, of which we
- 8 have no shortage, what's reported in the paper, we
- 9 have no shortage of natural gas. I'm not sure why
- 10 we're worrying about this. Converting coal to
- 11 synthetic gas will create approximately ten times
- 12 more soot and smog pollution, leading to increased
- 13 asthma, lung cancer, and other respiratory
- 14 illnesses.
- Smog also harms plants and trees.
- 16 Persistent smog pollution can alter and disrupt plant
- 17 growth over time, leading to an estimated 500 billion
- 18 lost due to reduced crops production in the United
- 19 States every year.
- 20 Use of coal to produce synthetic gas
- 21 are potential sources of high-toxic contaminates like
- 22 arsenic, mercury, chromium, titanium, cancer-causing
- 23 which can damage nervous systems and other organs,
- 24 especially children. And like has been said before,
- 25 we have 20 children right in our area. Sulfuric acid

- 1 taken by truck or rail. And there again, there's no
- 2 mention of a rail in the permit. So what do we do
- 3 with this disposal of the sulfuric acid?
- 4 Flaring events during operation
- 5 start-up, shutdown, equipment malfunction. What
- 6 about emission at this time? What goes up must come
- 7 down. Who will inspect and monitor plant emissions?
- 8 Who and how will shutdown be done, by a man or a
- 9 system? What plan for evacuation?
- 10 Here's some of the questions and
- 11 answers. There is no distinguishing odor from
- 12 gasification operation. How can you say, when no
- 13 plant operation yet, only on paper? The North Dakota
- 14 plant has bad odors. Only minimal. What is
- 15 minimal?
- The new technology of proposed plant
- 17 has not been proven. How will it operate? How much
- 18 emissions? Noise from site. 65 decibals, about
- 19 level of conversation at fence line. What fence
- 20 line? To my fence line? Is it Spotanski's fence
- 21 line? I don't understand when you say fence line.
- 22 There's a lot of fences around there.
- 23 Traffic and noise. We have sub-par
- 24 roads. Will be dust and dirt with excess cars and
- 25 trucks. No same route. And they found that out at

1 Prairie State. They couldn't control the trucks and

- 2 stuff coming in. They had a designated route, but
- 3 they went the shortest route.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER: One minute.
- 5 MR. CHARLES SPOTANSKI: Okay.
- 6 Gasifiers are supposed to be total of five and would
- 7 use approximately 2,630 tons of coal per day for a
- 8 total of about 13,000 tons. And if all by truck,
- 9 about 25 ton per truck in a 24-hour period. You'd
- 10 have about 510 semis getting in and out of there, and
- 11 I don't know if that would be possible or not.
- 12 And like Rick said, there was something
- 13 mentioned about the slurry to pipe the coal in, but
- 14 that wasn't answered in Waltonville. And there's no
- 15 mine been picked, as far as I'm concerned, unless
- 16 they've picked it since the 21st.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER: One minute, sir.
- 18 MR. CHARLES SPOTANSKI: Okay. On
- 19 stormwater to be captured on site, holding pond for
- 20 3-inch rain in 24 hours. What happens when we get a
- 21 4 to 6-inch rain in 24 hours and holding ponds
- 22 overflow? Where does this contaminated water go? In
- 23 the creeks, streams, lakes, etc. What happens to the
- 24 water in the holding ponds after rain? How does it
- 25 leave? We have clay soils in our area, also, so it

1 will not absorb as much water as possibly the soil

- 2 that you guys are talking about.
- What will the plant light level be at
- 4 nighttime? Said light would be contained on the
- 5 plant site. I don't know if that's possible or not.
- 6 We all know, there's 40 farmers in here, and these
- 7 crops will not ripen under lights.
- 8 The water uses -- that's been brought
- 9 up several times -- 8 million gallons of water per
- 10 365 days from Rend Lake. I don't know about that.
- 11 And I, too, would like to reiterate on what my wife
- 12 said to the County Board. We went there numerous
- 13 times and asked questions. I went to meeting after
- 14 meeting after meeting, and I asked questions, and
- 15 nobody knew nothing. Nobody knew nothing. But the
- 16 gentleman here before the meeting said, oh, we've had
- 17 a lot of meetings. So thank you.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 19 comment. Okay. Verena Owen.
- 20 MS. OWEN: Hi. My name is Verena,
- 21 V-E-R-E-N-A, Owen, O-W-E-N. I chair Sierra's Club's
- 22 National Coal Campaign, which means I'm the lead
- 23 volunteer on the campaign. It's actually the Move
- 24 Beyond Coal Campaign. I live in Illinois, but I've
- 25 never been in this part of Illinois. I was blown

1 away how beautiful it is, how tranquil. I want to

- 2 come back. I want to go hiking. I want to go
- 3 fishing in Rend Lake.
- I want to thank IEPA for holding the
- 5 hearing. This must not be easy to be faced with a
- 6 room full of people with a lot of questions. Now,
- 7 I've been to a couple of dozen of hearings with you
- 8 guys, and I'm a little troubled that we hear a lot of
- 9 questions, and you don't have a lot of answers. And
- 10 that seems to be indicative of this project. Let's
- 11 try an easy one, shall we? Where is the nearest air
- 12 monitor?
- MR. ROMAINE: I'm sorry. We don't have
- 14 that information with us tonight.
- 15 MS. OWEN: The next one will be harder,
- 16 so let's skip them for the time being. About three
- 17 weeks ago, I was in Washington, D.C. I attended a
- 18 Green Jobs Conference. It was called Good Jobs Green
- 19 Jobs. It was hosted by the Blue-Green Alliance. The
- 20 Blue-Green Alliance is an alliance between the Sierra
- 21 Club and the Steelworkers Union. Umm, I was -- it
- 22 was a wonderful event.
- 23 And I remember at the closing, that the
- 24 union, the Steelworkers Union President said, "You
- 25 know, last year we planned this event for 500 people,

- 1 and 800 showed up. This year we planned this event
- 2 for 1,500 people, and 2,500 people showed up."
- I also want a clean energy future for
- 4 Illinois. This is not the future. This is the
- 5 past. Can we do this? Yes, we can. Pretty much at
- 6 the same time when IEPA issued their permit, they
- 7 issued a permit for a company that makes towers for
- 8 wind turbines. They want to expand. Yay! And I bet
- 9 you, that's not gonna' cost \$2 billion for 50 workers
- or \$40 million a worker, and that's not gonna',
- 11 they're not gonna' be asking for \$450 million from
- 12 the state for the expansion of the wind turbine
- 13 factory. That provides real jobs.
- 14 Also at the conference, I had the
- 15 privilege for the first time to hear the new
- 16 administrator of EPA speak, Lisa Jackson. Lisa gets
- 17 it. She's from New Orleans. She knows what it means
- 18 to be faced with global warming issues, and she
- 19 understands it. And she made it perfectly clear to
- 20 everybody in the room that the rule of law and the
- 21 value of science has returned to EPA. She also made
- 22 it perfectly clear that the days of unlimited CO2
- 23 emissions are over.
- 24 So what I hear from you here is, in my
- 25 view at least, at best disingenuous and just simply

1 not true. There will be CO2 regulations, and you are

- 2 instructed to make sure that permits have CO2 limits
- 3 in them. Jim Hanson put it best. Jim Hanson is the
- 4 preeminent scientist on global warming. He once
- 5 said: The bottom line for the planet is coal. The
- 6 bottom line is coal. If we burn it, liquify it, or
- 7 gasify it, we are toast. Thank you.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 9 comment. Walter Wagner, Brian Ramsey, and Ann
- 10 Phillips, Mike Starr.
- MR. WAGNER: My name is Walter Wagner,
- $12 \quad W-A-G-N-E-R$ .
- 13 (Discussion held off the record.)
- MR. WAGNER: Now, it's hard for me to
- 15 follow that person that just spoke, 'cause I'm not an
- 16 orator at all. We from Illinois here know that in
- 17 the last month or so, that a lot of words had to be
- 18 bleeped on television, and I hope this doesn't happen
- 19 here to me.
- 20 But I think that the first person that
- 21 spoke of this group said they'd like to be good
- 22 neighbors. Now, no construction has started there
- 23 yet, that I know of. Now, the first construction
- 24 that should start there, one of you guys should build
- 25 a house there and move your family there. Now, that

- 1 would make a good neighbor. Now, if you're willing
- 2 to live by it, then nobody else would have a
- 3 complaint.
- 4 Now, this next comment I'd like to make
- 5 would be to the air, the EPA. Have you been to the
- 6 site and have taken air samples and things, so you
- 7 can establish a benchmark as to what is actually out
- 8 there now?
- 9 MR. ROMAINE: We have used
- 10 representative monitoring sites to assess the air
- 11 quality. The air quality in Illinois is generally
- 12 very good, except in our larger metropolitan areas.
- MR. WAGNER: So what you're saying is
- 14 that our air quality could be deteriorated quite a
- 15 bit before it would be unacceptable?
- MR. ROMAINE: That is correct.
- 17 However, this plant would not significantly
- 18 deteriorate the air quality, based on the analysis
- 19 that's been performed.
- 20 MR. WAGNER: Uh-huh. Really the reason
- 21 I'm here for is not to make jokes like that. I look
- 22 out across the audience, and, uh, I've got 49 and a
- 23 half years doing continuously the same thing. And,
- 24 uh, I don't know how many people here worked at the
- 25 same job for 49 and a half years. That's quite a few

```
1 years to work at the same thing. I'm a farmer. Our
```

- 2 ground, my son and I, our ground starts a mile to the
- 3 south, and it continues on for another mile south.
- 4 Now, a hundred percent of all of this
- 5 watershed -- and I don't know if you know it or not,
- 6 but you got a continental divide there on that. What
- 7 I'm talking about continental divide, it flows into
- 8 two streams. But the two streams join right at the
- 9 edge of Jefferson and Perry County in Section 1 in
- 10 Perry County. Well, our ground starts there again
- 11 and continues on for another half a mile.
- Now, the thing that bothers me about
- 13 this, all of this, uh, stuff that we talked about
- 14 tonight are in real technical figures and things.
- Now, I'm a real simple person, and I do things the
- 16 simple way. I would like to make a proposal to one
- 17 or both of you men tonight, to one or the three of
- 18 you up here. I've got the equipment with me right
- 19 now to test the water quality in this stream, because
- 20 every drop of it will run through and exit our
- 21 property.
- There won't be a drop, not a -- now
- 23 when I'm talking about testing this water, my dad
- 24 taught me how to test water. Uh, it's been in the
- 25 family, this has, and all this water has been going

1 through the same way, hardly ever got changed, this

- 2 water course has.
- Now, this equipment I have on me -- and
- 4 this is the proposal I'd like to make to you people
- 5 here -- uh, I got two hands and knees and a mouth.
- 6 I'll lean over that stream tonight, and I'll be
- 7 waiting for somebody to come with me, and we'll test
- 8 the water quality. Now, I need an answer before the
- 9 meeting's over. I'll stay till it's over.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 11 comment. Brian Ramsey?
- 12 MR. RAMSEY: I'm just here, 'cause fair
- 13 is fair. I've been the president of Mt. Vernon Coon
- 14 Hunters Club. I've had hunting dogs. I like to
- 15 hunt, fish, eat from the water. Okay? I've also
- 16 worked as a worker at Lake of Egypt down here, the
- 17 power plant. It's a full-blown coal-burning power
- 18 plant. I've worked on the scrubber back there. The
- 19 water that comes from that power plant comes directly
- 20 into it and directly into the lake, a 2,000-acre
- 21 lake, 2,000-plus acre lake.
- There's a golf course within a stone's
- 23 throw from the fence of this power plant. Okay?
- 24 That water is what those people drink there. There's
- 25 homes, hundreds of them, built around this lake.

- 1 Okay? They have hundred thousand dollar homes. They
- 2 try to give their kids the best quality of life they
- 3 can give. I've hunted, I mean, I've fished and ate
- 4 fish since I've been 19 years old out of that lake.
- 5 I'm 46 years old now. I've never had anything happen
- 6 to me as far as getting anything from the water.
- 7 They supply water not only for those
- 8 homes there, but for the surrounding community. And
- 9 the air there, there's ducks. I've actually worked
- 10 on the scrubber, and there's hundreds of pigeons in
- 11 that steel, and they're hatching eggs. So I don't
- 12 know how bad this power plant will be, but they're
- 13 supposed to be cleaner than a full-blown coal-burning
- 14 scrubber that gets the sulfur and everything from the
- 15 coal power plant. So I just wanted to say my part,
- 16 and that's what I got to say.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 18 comment. Ann Phillips?
- 19 MS. PHILLIPS: My name's Ann Phillips.
- 20 I've spent most of my life in South Africa, where
- 21 this technology has been used for decades, many,
- 22 quite a few decades, not making natural gas,
- 23 synthetic natural gas, but making synthetic liquid
- 24 fuel. Okay? It's made in a place called Sassa.
- 25 Some of you may have heard of that. And I was in

- 1 South Africa just a couple of months ago, and we read
- 2 an article about Sassa, that said it's not a very
- 3 good place to have a new car, because after one year,
- 4 the paint job on your car is pretty awful from all
- 5 the emissions that come out from that synthetic fuel
- 6 plant. Okay? That's what I have to say. Thank
- 7 you.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 9 comment. Mike Starr?
- 10 MR. STARR: My name is Mike Starr,
- 11 S-T-A-R-R, and I am an intern with the Sierra Club.
- 12 And the first thing I'd like to say is just a little
- 13 bit about underscoring the fact that the life cycle
- 14 of synthetic natural gas produces double the
- 15 emissions of carbon dioxide compared to conventional
- 16 natural gas.
- 17 And the first question I have is, I'm
- 18 wondering if the members of the EPA here present
- 19 would be willing to share with everybody here what
- 20 the mission statement of the EPA is or a summary of
- 21 it, to give the gist of what purpose your agency
- 22 serves.
- 23 MR. ROMAINE: I don't have a copy of it
- 24 with me, but our mission, in general terms, is to
- 25 protect the environment in Illinois.

```
1 MR. STARR: Thank you. Now, with that
```

- 2 in mind, I would like to know if the members of the
- 3 EPA present here today believe that the Illinois EPA
- 4 is acting responsibly when it fails to regulate the
- 5 CO2 emissions in the air permit of a synthetic
- 6 natural gas plant, knowing that CO2 is a major
- 7 contributor to global warming?
- 8 MR. ROMAINE: As Ms. Owen stated, we
- 9 are a country of law. We are carrying out the
- 10 current laws. We are acting responsibly.
- 11 MR. STARR: Thank you. That is all I
- 12 have to say.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 14 comment. Dale -- I'm gonna' probably not do justice
- 15 to your name. Wojtkowski, Leo Suchomski, and -- can
- 16 you hear me? And Sandra Suchomski, and Theodore
- 17 Kujawa and Patrick Kujawa. Dale?
- 18 MR. WOJTKOWSKI: Hello. My name is
- 19 Dale Wojtkowski. That's W-O-J-T-K-O-W-S-K-I.
- 20 Although my name is spelled like I should be from
- 21 this area, I was born and raised in St. Louis, and I
- 22 eventually settled in west Washington County, and I
- 23 obviously settled in the wrong part of the county.
- 24 I'm here, initially I would like to say
- 25 the permit, this permit should be denied, because

- 1 there are no CO2 controls built into the permit. And
- 2 I would just like to state for all the previous
- 3 reasons that were stated. And also, I do not believe
- 4 that any mercury emissions are acceptable. When we
- 5 talk about ambient air quality, have you extrapolated
- 6 the effect of the Prairie State Energy Campus on this
- 7 area? That's a question.
- 8 MR. ROMAINE: I would have to
- 9 double-check with our modelers to verify it.
- 10 However, my expectation is that the impacts of the
- 11 Prairie State Generating Station, which are at the
- 12 other end of the county, would not significantly
- 13 affect this area.
- MR. WOJTKOWSKI: Okay. I find that
- 15 kind of amazing, especially with all the other power
- 16 plants that are in the area. Umm, I'm sorry here.
- 17 Umm, oh, I just want to go on. Since I stated my
- 18 objections, I would also like to state to all the
- 19 people here, I live right next to the Prairie State
- 20 Generating, or Prairie State Energy Campus.
- 21 Uh, and many of the benefits that were
- 22 stated such as, uh, oh, I think the figure 2,500
- 23 construction jobs being created. I'd have to say
- 24 that's been way over-exaggerated. And I'd say if
- 25 they're talking about a thousand jobs here, they're

1 probably really meaning 100. And that's the type of

- 2 exaggeration I've been seeing.
- And when they say, oh, don't worry
- 4 about your roads; everything's gonna' be okay. They
- 5 don't mean that. I mean, the trucks don't stop at
- 6 stop signs. Uh, I've been, I've woken up at 3:30 in
- 7 the morning on a Saturday morning by vibrations being
- 8 made a mile and a half away at the construction
- 9 site.
- 10 And I don't have to turn my lights on,
- 11 because the site lights illuminate the inside of my
- 12 house. I mean, those are the types of things you can
- 13 look forward to.
- 14 And I'm just appalled that the
- 15 Jefferson County Board members that's supposed to
- 16 represent you would even let something like this
- 17 happen with so many people being opposed to it. I
- 18 would certainly hope that you would never vote for
- 19 them again. You probably should run them out of the
- 20 county, or worse. Anyway, before I lose my cool,
- 21 I'll just shut up. Thank you very much for your
- 22 time.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 24 comment. Leo?
- MR. SUCHOMSKI: My name is Leo

1 Suchomski, S-U-C-H-O-M-S-K-I. I live about 80 acres

- 2 to the northwest of the proposed plant. I've heard a
- 3 few numbers thrown around here, like 2.5 microns.
- 4 And this question is directed to you as the EPA. How
- 5 high and how far, say if they got to be 400, 4,000,
- 6 who would make the call to pull the plug or shut this
- 7 down, and who's gonna' clean this up?
- 8 MR. ROMAINE: You're referring to
- 9 numbers. 400 what?
- 10 MR. SUCHOMSKI: All right. Say 2.5
- 11 microns of mercury, sulfuric acid or chromium or any
- 12 of the other numbers. Who decides what the high
- 13 number is and when it's time to shut it down?
- MR. ROMAINE: The emissions that we
- 15 permitted, or are proposing to permit, the potential
- 16 emissions of the plant represent the required level
- 17 of technology. So they represent the Best Available
- 18 Control Technology. The requirement is a technology
- 19 requirement. It isn't the level that would be merely
- 20 adequate to meet ambient air quality standards. The
- 21 levels of emissions from the plant are well below the
- 22 levels that are necessary to protect ambient air
- 23 quality standards.
- 24 In terms of decisions about future of
- 25 the plant, depending on how it's operating, those

- 1 would be made jointly between the Illinois EPA and
- 2 the Attorney General's Office, potentially the U.S.
- 3 EPA if there were significant problems with the
- 4 operation of the plant.
- 5 MR. SUCHOMSKI: Okay. By significant,
- 6 what are you saying here? We gotta' wait for an
- 7 orange cloud to come over or what?
- 8 MR. ROMAINE: No. If there are things
- 9 that are directly impacting public health, there are
- 10 provisions available to enjoin the operation of a
- 11 facility.
- MR. SUCHOMSKI: Okay. My questions
- 13 were, is what would the response time be if something
- 14 got out of hand here?
- 15 MR. ROMAINE: We have not contemplated
- 16 that something would get out of hand. That is not
- 17 the experience we've had with these types of
- 18 facilities. The action would have to be taken on a
- 19 case-by-case basis in response to the particular
- 20 events.
- 21 MR. SUCHOMSKI: All right. That's all
- 22 I have.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Sandra?
- MS. SUCHOMSKI: Hi. I am Sandra
- 25 Suchomski, S-U-C-H-O-M-S-K-I. That was my husband,

- 1 Leo. We have a 13-year-old son, and I am very
- 2 unhappy about this. If I wanted to live where
- 3 there's gonna' be daylight 24 hours a day, and, you
- 4 know, what goes up in the air has to come down.
- 5 Every time it rains, all that's gonna' come down.
- 6 And we're gonna' be breathing it in, and it's gonna'
- 7 be in our water stream.
- 8 And anyway, I just wanted to voice my
- 9 opinion that I'm very unhappy about it. And I wish
- 10 somebody would've came to our door and asked us what
- 11 we thought about it, since we only live 80 acres
- 12 away. I wish, just like my Uncle Walter said, you
- 13 know, why don't you build a house there? You wanta'
- 14 live there? Thank you.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Theodore
- 16 Kujawa?
- 17 MR. THEODORE KUJAWA: Yes. Theodore
- 18 Kujawa, K-U-J-A-W-A. I'm a Vietnam vet. And when I
- 19 was in 'Nam, they sprayed the foliage down there, and
- 20 they said, "Agent Orange won't hurt you. Don't worry
- 21 about it." Now we've come home, veterans have died.
- 22 Now we're gonna' have a factory here. Don't worry
- 23 about it. It's okay. The EPA's gonna' take care of
- 24 us.
- When that thing blows up, and my

- 1 cabin's right there a half a mile from it, my wife
- 2 and I's retirement home, we won't have nothing left.
- 3 It won't even be fit to live there. Just thank you.
- 4 I wish you'd move someplace else. Go to Mt. Vernon,
- 5 where that lady said it's so nice.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 7 comment. Patrick Kujawa? Patrick --
- 8 AUDIENCE MEMBER: He talked already.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER: He did? Thank you.
- 10 Vido Mastrangelo? I've probably --
- 11 M-A-S-T-R-A-N-G-E-L-O? Bob Hood, Blaine Middleton,
- 12 Jeff Williams.
- MR. MIDDLETON: Hello. My name is
- 14 Blaine Middleton, M-I-D-D-L-E-T-O-N. And my wife's
- 15 family's owned ground. We're gonna' be less than a
- 16 mile from this company. There's a lot of unanswered
- 17 questions, you know. They -- it was last week that I
- 18 found out about it, you know. It's awful funny that,
- 19 you know, Jefferson County Board can make decisions
- 20 and keep everything under wraps when it's not
- 21 affecting them, you know. Let's put it out on the
- 22 county line away from Mt. Vernon, where it doesn't
- 23 affect us, you know.
- 24 It's awful sad that, you know, I had --
- 25 I've got plans to put a cabin out there on our

- 1 property, bring my kids out there, go fishing. And
- 2 basically we always face north. Well, I guess we
- 3 won't have to see the stars anymore, 'cause it'll be
- 4 all lit up, you know. And all the pollutants and
- 5 everything else is gonna' come this way, you know.
- 6 Unanswered questions. Where's the
- 7 pipelines gonna' go for this company, you know, where
- 8 you're gonna' put it back into a natural gas
- 9 pipeline, you know? Where's the raw, the pipeline
- 10 from Rend Lake gonna' come from? I'll tell you
- 11 what. Don't come to my door, 'cause you won't get an
- 12 easement from me, you know. I feel that, you know,
- 13 you can't be up-front with somebody, you know, before
- 14 you start this, you're not no friend of mine. Thank
- 15 you.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Vido, Bob
- 17 Hood, Jeff Williams. Okay. Kathy Andria?
- 18 (Discussion held off the record.)
- 19 MS. ANDRIA: My name is Kathy Andria,
- 20 A-N-D-R-I-A. I'm conservation chair of the Kaskaskia
- 21 Group of the Sierra Club. We have about 500 members
- 22 in seven counties, including Washington and
- 23 Jefferson, where Power Holdings has chosen to locate
- 24 this plant. I grew up in Granite City and live in
- 25 the Metro East, so I'm very familiar with air

1 pollution from a variety of sources. Is this working

- 2 okay? Not loud enough?
- 3 AUDIENCE MEMBER: No.
- 4 MS. ANDRIA: I strongly oppose
- 5 Peabody's Prairie State Power Plant, because they
- 6 chose a location just 1.8 miles inside Washington
- 7 County, thereby avoiding stronger controls that
- 8 would've been required had it located in St. Clair
- 9 County, because St. Clair County does not meet
- 10 federal air standards for air quality.
- 11 The Power Holdings site is just
- 12 downwind of Prairie State and the Baldwin Power
- 13 Plant. What will be the cumulative impacts to the
- 14 air quality to the neighbors there? Power Holdings
- 15 is locating its plant right along the
- 16 Washington/Jefferson County line. Why Jefferson
- 17 County? Well, other than you see that they
- 18 apparently don't, aren't responsive to their
- 19 citizens, Jefferson County does not have zoning.
- 20 So this plant has not gone through a
- 21 public review of its location. Citizens have not had
- 22 an opportunity to object to its siting, to ask
- 23 questions, and present information. So tonight,
- 24 Illinois EPA, we ask you to review the suitability of
- 25 the site for such a facility.

```
1 As you've heard, the facility sits
```

- 2 above a fault zone, with yet another fault zone just
- 3 across the road. At a recent open house that Power
- 4 Holdings held for elected officials, its CEO seemed
- 5 not to be aware of that fact, when asked by those
- 6 attending the event. And Jeff Spotanski referred to
- 7 that.
- 8 In fact, as a number of people have
- 9 indicated, Power Holdings seems not to know a lot of
- 10 details about how the plant will be operated, who
- 11 will operate it, where will they get their coal,
- 12 whether or not they will sequester CO2, whether they
- 13 will slurry their coal in by pipeline or by train or
- 14 by truck. They've said all of these.
- 15 According to newspaper accounts and
- 16 those who have met with Power Holdings, they seem to
- 17 have a different answer for nearly every question,
- 18 depending on who is asking and/or who is answering.
- 19 They say they do not think carbon sequestration is
- 20 viable. Indeed, it is not included in this permit.
- 21 They have not done a BACT analysis for CO2.
- 22 Given the Supreme Court decision on
- 23 carbon dioxide as a pollutant and recent decisions by
- 24 the EPA's Environmental Appeals Board, CO2 should
- 25 have been addressed in this permit. Why is it not?

- 1 Chris Romaine has given us the answer.
- 2 To another audience, Power Holdings
- 3 indicates that yes, they will sequester CO2. Where?
- 4 How? The proposed site sits above two fault zones.
- 5 According to Congressman Jerry Costello, in
- 6 announcing why two Southern Illinois sites were
- 7 disqualified as sites for FutureGen, the Department
- 8 of Energy indicated it was because of our active
- 9 seismic area and the potential for earthquakes. I
- 10 refer you to the map that I believe one of the Ashley
- 11 people is going to hand in.
- 12 Not only is such a site unsuitable for
- 13 carbon sequestration, it is totally unsuitable to put
- 14 a plant converting coal to gas and gas pipelines over
- 15 a fault. That should go into your calculation as to
- 16 whether this site is suitable for a syngas plant and
- 17 should be permitted. Remember, you are also
- 18 functioning as a de facto siting board.
- 19 We understand that the engineering firm
- 20 that prepared the application is no longer associated
- 21 with Power Holdings. In fact, we read an article in
- the newspaper that the firm brought legal action
- 23 against Power Holdings, because it did not pay its
- 24 bill. Surely that gives you pause as to whether
- 25 Power Holdings should even receive an air permit to

- 1 operate a potentially explosive plant.
- Who will operate the plant? Who will
- 3 be responsible if something goes horribly wrong?
- 4 What goes into your decision on whether to give a
- 5 permit to a company? With the PSD permit, don't they
- 6 have to be a viable project, be a viable company?
- 7 Everything about Power Holdings
- 8 indicates it is not ready for prime time. It does
- 9 not have a business plan. It gives evasive or
- 10 different answers to the same questions. What are
- 11 the financial resources of the company? Do they have
- 12 investors, or are they operating solely on the funds
- 13 from taxpayers? They say they have already spent \$10
- 14 million. On what? Whose money? Our money?
- There are companies in Illinois and
- 16 other states that seem to go along for years getting
- 17 money for research from all sorts of government
- 18 entities, from local municipalities, TIF funds,
- 19 enterprise zones, state economic and county economic
- 20 development agencies, the Department of Energy, and
- 21 who knows where all. They promise jobs, always lots
- 22 of jobs. But all too often, a plant never
- 23 materializes.
- I see that Joe Darguzas is now with
- 25 Power Holdings. I remember seeing his name as a

- 1 representative for Enviropower when I read the
- 2 transcript for the hearing that you held on its
- 3 permit. That plant never got built.
- 4 Power Holdings told the local people at
- 5 their open house that they have a spotless safety and
- 6 environmental record. But where? They don't own or
- 7 operate another plant anywhere so that we can see
- 8 their record. That, too, should give you pause.
- 9 Power Holdings has applied for and
- 10 received provisionally, I believe, an allocation to
- 11 take eight million gallons of water a day from Rend
- 12 Lake. That is half the allocation of water daily for
- 13 300,000 people. There was a drought in 2007, and Dr.
- 14 John Tranquille, a retired biologist, who was an
- 15 administrator for the Illinois Department of Natural
- 16 Resources, expresses deep concern that there is
- 17 simply not enough water in Rend Lake to provide water
- 18 to both industry and people.
- 19 IDNR seems to be waiting to issue the
- 20 final allocation until the air permit is issued. So
- 21 while you may say you are only concerned with air,
- 22 once again, your decision affects many other
- 23 decisions. We understand that Power Holdings has
- 24 applied for solid waste bonds for \$150 million and
- 25 moral obligation bonds for \$300 million more from the

- 1 state.
- 2 Jefferson County Economic Development
- 3 Corporation, which, in the beginning of the meeting,
- 4 strongly promoted this plant and endorsed it, and
- 5 they have already provided funding, as well as staff
- 6 time, describes the bonds on the Web site. They are
- 7 not -- this is the moral obligation bonds. They are
- 8 not a full faith and credit guarantee, but are
- 9 referred to as moral obligation bonds. If ever there
- 10 was a default, the governor would notify the
- 11 legislature and put into the Illinois state budget an
- 12 appropriation of state moneys sufficient to cure the
- 13 default. The legislature is not legally obligated to
- 14 make this appropriation, but has a moral obligation
- 15 to do so. No fooling! It's on the Jefferson County
- 16 Development Web site.
- 17 So it seems to me, you, EPA, have a
- 18 moral obligation to do more than just evaluate a
- 19 standard PSD permit. So much rests on your
- 20 decision: Drinking water for hundreds of thousands
- 21 of people, the safety of the neighbors, their homes,
- 22 families, crops, property values, adding ten million
- 23 tons per year of carbon dioxide to our planet's
- 24 atmosphere, and now with the possibility of moral
- 25 obligation bonds, potentially the loss of 300 million

of dollars of scarce state funds, taxpayer money, our

- 2 money. This is your decision. Weigh it carefully,
- 3 and make sure it is the right one. Thank you. I
- 4 will have some additional questions after.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER: At this time, we're
- 6 gonna' take a ten-minute break. I have that it's
- 7 9:05 approximately. We'll go back on the record at
- 8 9:15. If anybody here would like to have an
- 9 opportunity to make a statement and hasn't, if they
- 10 could come see me at the break, so I get their name.
- 11 Thank you. And there'll be some additional questions
- 12 from several people who've already spoken. They've
- 13 let me know.
- 14 (A break was taken.)
- 15 MS. CLAYBORN: We're gonna' get started
- 16 again, everybody. My name is Becki Clayborn. I'm
- 17 with the Sierra Club, Move Beyond Coal Campaign. So
- 18 the last time I was up here, we were talking about
- 19 CO2 a little bit and about whether or not it would be
- 20 in the permit.
- 21 And my understanding is that what the
- 22 ruling was is that the Environmental Appeals Board
- 23 asked the State of Michigan to explain again, that
- 24 their reasoning for not including CO2 in the permit
- 25 was not enough. So if you were to have to explain to

1 the Environmental Appeals Board why CO2 was not in

- 2 the permit, what would the answer be?
- 3 MR. ROMAINE: The Michigan case is an
- 4 interesting case, because it occurred before
- 5 Administrator Johnson made the determination.
- 6 Administrator Johnson was the former administrator of
- 7 U.S. EPA finding that CO2 was --
- 8 (Audience member(s) speaking.)
- 9 MR. ROMAINE: The decision, the
- 10 decision in Michigan came before Administrator
- 11 Johnson had made a formal determination that CO2 was
- 12 not regulated under the PSD program.
- 13 The interesting development in the last
- 14 month has been that the new administrator of U.S. EPA
- 15 will be reevaluating that decision. So at this point
- 16 in time, U.S. EPA is considering how and whether, in
- 17 what context and form, CO2 should be regulated.
- MS. CLAYBORN: Okay. Interesting.
- 19 Thank you. I'm gonna' ask some questions that aren't
- 20 related to CO2, because I'm sure there are some
- 21 things here that folks locally might be interested
- 22 in. Was dust from coal, if it's shipped in by rail,
- 23 considered in this air permit?
- 24 MR. ROMAINE: The receiving -- I guess,
- 25 Bob, do you want to answer that?

```
1 MS. CLAYBORN: Could you guys stop
```

- 2 talking back there? Thank you. So again, the
- 3 question is, if coal is coming in by rail or by truck
- 4 or whatever, will the coal dust, has the coal dust
- 5 been considered in the air permit?
- 6 MR. SMET: Yes, it's been included as
- 7 part of coal handling operations.
- 8 MS. CLAYBORN: So I'm confused, because
- 9 there's been talk of slurrying the coal in, but
- 10 there's been talk of railing the coal in. So how is
- 11 it determined in the permit? Was it determined on
- 12 the railing end or slurrying end, or were there two
- 13 different options?
- 14 MR. SMET: It's based on it occurring
- 15 on site.
- AUDIENCE MEMBER: We can't hear you.
- MS. CLAYBORN: Hold it closer.
- 18 MR. SMET: It's based on it occurring
- 19 on site.
- 20 MS. CLAYBORN: The coal being
- 21 brought --
- 22 MR. SMET: Right, on site, as a worse
- 23 case situation.
- 24 MS. CLAYBORN: I don't know what that
- 25 means, brought on site.

```
1 MR. SMET: Umm --
```

- MS. CLAYBORN: Was it based on coal
- 3 trains coming into the site, or was it based on a
- 4 pipeline with slurry?
- 5 MR. SMET: It's based on it coming on
- 6 site by rail.
- 7 MS. CLAYBORN: Okay. Thank you. Were
- 8 fugitive emissions for traffic considered in the air
- 9 permit?
- 10 MR. SMET: It should've been considered
- 11 in terms of secondary effects. But in terms of it
- 12 off site, uh, as far as the emissions generated here,
- 13 uh, it shouldn't be. It should only be that which
- 14 occurs on site.
- MS. CLAYBORN: So only if there's a
- 16 dirt road on site that they're traveling over,
- 17 kicking up dust, that's the only thing in the
- 18 permit?
- 19 MR. SMET: That's right, that's right.
- 20 MS. CLAYBORN: One of the questions
- 21 that was brought up was about a fault line in the
- area, and who determines whether there's proper
- 23 siting of a site, of a building or a coal-fired power
- 24 plant on that site. And if you guys aren't the ones,
- 25 which I'm assuming you aren't the right people to

1 address that issue, do you have any clue as to where

- 2 people could go to find out the answer to that
- 3 question?
- 4 MR. ROMAINE: At this point, I'd have
- 5 to do some research to see what the role of state,
- 6 Illinois government is in reviewing that. The
- 7 Illinois Geological Survey may have some background
- 8 information on that subject, but they are certainly
- 9 not a permitting agency. Beyond that, it would be a
- 10 research job that we will conduct.
- 11 MS. CLAYBORN: And that will be in the
- 12 responsiveness summary?
- MR. ROMAINE: Yes, it will.
- 14 MS. CLAYBORN: Thank you. Could you
- 15 talk a little bit about emissions that may have an
- 16 outfall in the nearby watersheds, and how that could
- 17 potentially affect water quality in the area. There
- 18 are people that are concerned about their livestock,
- 19 water, and their creeks.
- 20 MR. ROMAINE: Given the relatively
- 21 small amount of emissions from this facility, we do
- 22 not anticipate any issues with water deposition of
- 23 pollutants.
- 24 MS. CLAYBORN: And could you describe
- 25 the status of the endangered species consultation?

```
1 MR. SMET: We have notification that
```

- 2 the U.S. EPA and Fish & Wildlife found no significant
- 3 impact on endangered species.
- 4 MS. CLAYBORN: Okay. I'd be interested
- 5 in having that in the responsiveness summary, because
- 6 we can't find that information. Thank you. And one
- 7 of the big concerns that we have identified is the
- 8 use of water for their project, eight million gallons
- 9 a day from Rend Lake. And I'm just wondering, is
- 10 there any -- was there an alternative cooling system
- 11 looked at, like a dry cooling system, a closed
- 12 cooling system, anything that would preserve that
- 13 water from being evaporated?
- MR. ROMAINE: The usage of that water
- is part of the gasification process. Only a small
- 16 amount of that water is used in the cooling tower.
- 17 The consideration of alternative types of cooling was
- 18 considered for that particular, as part of the BACT
- 19 analysis for the cooling tower.
- 20 MS. CLAYBORN: Okay. I did not realize
- 21 that. I thought it was mostly going out through the
- 22 cooling tower. But it's actually in the process that
- 23 it's used?
- MR. ROMAINE: I don't have the water
- 25 breakdown; I'm certainly the Air Bureau. But part of

- 1 the process of converting coal into natural gas
- 2 involves reacting coal with water, steam reforming to
- 3 make hydrogen.
- 4 MS. CLAYBORN: Thank you. In terms of
- 5 air quality -- and this is an issue that I've never
- 6 actually dealt with before. But when there is a
- 7 smell, an odor, is that considered an air pollution?
- 8 Is it considered pollutants nearby, and how does that
- 9 work?
- 10 MR. ROMAINE: Under Illinois state law,
- 11 the presence of an odor in the atmosphere, if it is a
- 12 nuisance, is a form of pollution.
- MS. CLAYBORN: So is that considered in
- 14 this permit?
- 15 MR. ROMAINE: It would be if this plant
- 16 was of a type that has been traditionally associated
- 17 with odors. To our knowledge, it is not. There have
- 18 been comments made about the Great Plains facility in
- 19 North Dakota, and we will have to investigate those.
- 20 MS. CLAYBORN: Great. Thank you. Kind
- 21 of similarly, but I realize it may not be an air
- 22 issue but on the end of noise. If there's a noise
- 23 issue or a light issue, who is the regulator of
- 24 those?
- 25 MR. ROMAINE: Light, to my knowledge,

```
1 is addressed locally, so that is something that I
```

- 2 would look to the nearby community to address. Noise
- 3 is something that there are state regulations for.
- 4 They can be directly enforced by individuals that are
- 5 concerned, by obtaining a consultant and making
- 6 necessary noise measurements to verify whether those
- 7 standards are met.
- 8 It is typical practice for new power
- 9 plants to conduct such measurements before and after
- 10 the development of a facility. Given concerns
- 11 expressed about noise from the proposed facility,
- 12 even though this is not a power plant, it's a
- 13 synthetic natural gas plant, we would certainly
- 14 encourage Power Holdings, if a permit is issued, to
- 15 undertake a similar approach, where they conduct
- 16 baseline measurements before the plant, and then
- 17 conduct measurements after the plant is constructed,
- 18 to confirm that they do comply with the state noise
- 19 regulations.
- 20 MS. CLAYBORN: Great. Thank you. In
- 21 terms of need -- I'm pretty sure I know the answer to
- 22 this question -- but anywhere in the process of their
- 23 permitting from either you guys or beyond, does
- 24 anyone ever stop and say, "Wait, do we need this?"
- MR. ROMAINE: That is not a subject

- 1 that is directly addressed by the Illinois EPA as
- 2 part of the permitting program. It is certainly a
- 3 subject that members of the public, interested
- 4 parties can submit comments to us on as part of the
- 5 process.
- 6 MS. CLAYBORN: 'Cause I would like to
- 7 kind of expand on this a little bit, because I really
- 8 don't think that there is need for a syngas plant.
- 9 As someone else said, I'm not sure we're having a
- 10 shortage of natural gas right now, so it doesn't
- 11 really make sense. It doesn't seem like a market
- 12 would be there for a product that's gonna' take twice
- 13 as much energy to create the product. It's obviously
- 14 gonna' cost more than what typical natural gas is
- 15 gonna' cost. I don't understand. It doesn't seem to
- 16 me like a viable project if it doesn't look like
- 17 there's a market for their product right now.
- I believe that there are definitely
- 19 alternatives. Sierra Club has released a study with
- 20 the American Solar Energy Society talking about the
- 21 different types of energy sources that are available
- 22 to replace the need for burning fossil fuels or
- 23 gasifying fossil fuels to then burn them.
- 24 And some of the alternatives that exist
- 25 are solar thermal, which is using the sun to heat

```
1 water. If you've had a barrel, a dark barrel of
```

- 2 water, it warms up in the sun. Geothermal, which is
- 3 getting the power from the ground, the heat from the
- 4 heat of the earth.
- 5 Energy efficiency. Right now there is
- 6 a bill that's being proposed at the state level that
- 7 is a natural gas efficiency bill for the State of
- 8 Illinois. We don't need to produce more natural
- 9 gas. We need to use the natural gas that we have in
- 10 existence much more efficiently, and we can. There
- 11 are ways to do that. We can get our power from solar
- 12 energy, wind.
- HEARING OFFICER: Excuse me.
- 14 MS. CLAYBORN: These are all job
- 15 creators. And I think that the need here is being
- 16 proposed as there's a need for jobs. There's a need
- 17 for coal to make money, coal companies to make money
- 18 by creating this product. And I believe that there
- is a need for jobs, but that it's not necessarily
- 20 gonna' be, it does not need to be fulfilled by
- 21 creating syngas out of coal.
- HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
- MS. CLAYBORN: Back to my questions.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER: How much more do you
- 25 have?

```
1 MS. CLAYBORN: Umm, about five, six.
```

- 2 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 'Cause --
- 3 MS. CLAYBORN: About the air permit.
- 4 Who typically does the modeling for the PSD permits?
- 5 Does your department? Does IEPA?
- 6 MR. ROMAINE: A consultant for the
- 7 company performs the initial modeling. That modeling
- 8 is then reviewed by our --
- 9 MS. CLAYBORN: They can't hear you.
- MR. ROMAINE: Oh, sorry.
- MS. CLAYBORN: I don't think it's
- 12 working again.
- MR. ROMAINE: I think you have to hold
- 14 it this way or this way. The modeling is performed
- 15 using U.S. EPA models by a consultant for the
- 16 company. The modeling is then reviewed by our
- 17 department of air quality planning.
- 18 MS. CLAYBORN: And that's how it's
- 19 always done typically?
- MR. ROMAINE: Yes, it is.
- 21 MS. CLAYBORN: Okay. After the life of
- this plant, which in some documents, we've noticed
- 23 that the life of the plant is considered 20 years.
- 24 After that 20 years is up, is there anything, either
- 25 in this permit or permits after this stage, that has

1 a bonding requirement to make sure that the company

- 2 takes care of the building either taking it down or
- 3 cleaning up the property?
- 4 MR. ROMAINE: That is not a subject of
- 5 air permitting.
- 6 MS. CLAYBORN: Do you know if there is
- 7 any part of state government that does require that
- 8 of the company?
- 9 MR. ROMAINE: No, I don't.
- 10 MS. CLAYBORN: Is that something that
- 11 you'd be willing to look into for us?
- MR. ROMAINE: Yes.
- 13 MS. CLAYBORN: Thank you. In terms of
- 14 safety for the community, one of the questions that
- 15 came up was explosions, fires, gas leaks. Is there
- 16 anything in this permit or other permits that
- 17 requires them to have on site either fire department
- 18 or emergency personnel or to beef up the fire
- 19 departments of the surrounding communities?
- 20 MR. ROMAINE: There is nothing in this
- 21 permit that requires that. Such provisions are
- 22 usually developed as part of the planning facility in
- 23 conjunction with insurance companies. Insurance
- 24 companies are very concerned that chemical plants
- 25 like this one are appropriately designed to operate

1 safely, and that they have the appropriate safety

- 2 equipment, including adequate fire protection
- 3 systems, to address the potential risks to the plant
- 4 from such fire, explosion, or such an event.
- 5 MS. CLAYBORN: I would suggest to those
- of you in the audience that heard the county board
- 7 saying they're all behind this, they need to take
- 8 this on, 'cause this is a safety issue that needs to
- 9 be evaluated, and you need to make sure that there's
- 10 enough water to even put out a fire that might
- 11 happen.
- 12 One of the concerns that came up was
- 13 the property values of people surrounding the
- 14 property. Is there anything in your permitting
- 15 process that requires you to take into consideration
- 16 economic impacts in the positive or negative?
- MR. ROMAINE: There are certain aspects
- 18 of the permitting process that look at control
- 19 technology that consider economic impacts. The air
- 20 permitting process does not consider local property
- 21 values.
- 22 MS. CLAYBORN: Or local community
- 23 impacts economically?
- 24 MR. ROMAINE: No, it does not consider
- 25 local community impacts, either positive or

1 negative. This permitting process is looking at the

- 2 impacts of the facility on air quality and the level
- 3 of control of emissions.
- 4 MS. CLAYBORN: Great. Thank you. I
- 5 would like to submit two pieces of information, our
- 6 handout about what we have researched for the Power
- 7 Holdings Coal Syngas Plant. I'd like to give that to
- 8 you guys.
- 9 But I also, there's this handout that I
- 10 have about clean energy job growth, that basically
- 11 says that if we were to manufacture wind power
- 12 components in Illinois, we could potentially have
- 13 31,000 new jobs. If we were going to manufacture
- 14 solar power components, we could potentially have
- 15 18,000 new jobs. These are the kinds of jobs that we
- 16 need in this area, not for a dirty coal syngas
- 17 plant. Thank you very much.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER: Are you -- have you
- 19 concluded?
- MS. CLAYBORN: Yes.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Could I
- 22 have Judy Spotanski?
- MS. JUDY SPOTANSKI: My name is Judy
- 24 Spotanski. If you cannot hear me, please raise your
- 25 hand; I'll speak louder. You can't hear me?

1 HEARING OFFICER: Move it closer to

- 2 your mouth.
- 3 MS. CLAYBORN: I think I turned it
- 4 off.
- 5 MS. JUDY SPOTANSKI: Is that better?
- 6 MS. CLAYBORN: I think I turned it
- 7 off. There you go.
- 8 MS. JUDY SPOTANSKI: Is it better now?
- 9 S-P-O-T-A-N-S-K-I, Judy. On Monday morning of this
- 10 week, I called the post office, the Catholic Church
- 11 office, and the health clinic in Beulah, North
- 12 Dakota. These are located between eight and ten
- 13 miles from the gasification plant. It is the only
- 14 plant known to be operating in the United States. I
- 15 spoke with the postmaster, the church secretary, and
- 16 an employee of the clinic.
- 17 My concern was stinking odors given off
- 18 from these emissions from the plant. They all stated
- 19 the stink was present. It is worse when they have
- 20 problems, stated the health clinic employee. She
- 21 lives six miles from the plant. And complained about
- 22 worse odors when they have start-ups, shutdowns,
- 23 malfunctions, or other problems there. The wind has
- 24 a bad effect on these odors.
- 25 I also asked the health clinic employee

- 1 about health concerns. She said if I asked ten
- 2 people, I would get ten different answers. She told
- 3 me she thought there was more of an incidence of
- 4 asthma, but that was her personal opinion.
- 5 Stink is definitely a concern to our
- 6 neighborhood and should be a concern for residents of
- 7 Ashley, Waltonville, DuBois, and even Mt. Vernon when
- 8 the wind is right. Have you ever visited Granite
- 9 City or any other town with a big plant? Thank you
- 10 for your time tonight.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 12 comment. Verena Owen?
- MS. OWEN: Hi. We're all missing
- 14 "American Idol" tonight. It's a good thing, because
- 15 none of us really know how to handle a microphone.
- 16 My name is Verena Owen, that's a four-letter word, no
- 17 S. That usually gets laughs. You guys aren't with
- 18 it. I have a couple of questions. The cooling
- 19 tower. Will the company put anti-fouling agents into
- 20 the water? What are those? Will there be potential
- 21 impact to vegetation from like bromide or fungicide
- 22 or herbicide and other things?
- MR. ROMAINE: There will be
- 24 anti-fouling agents in the cooling tower. Those
- 25 anti-fouling agents would be addressed as part of the

- 1 NPDES permit process.
- MS. OWEN: The NPDES permit process?
- 3 MR. ROMAINE: The wastewater permitting
- 4 process.
- 5 MS. OWEN: So do you anticipate what
- 6 kind of fouling agents they would use, and if they
- 7 would have an impact on the farms around it?
- 8 MR. ROMAINE: I would not anticipate an
- 9 impact, because the concentrations from the loss of
- 10 cooling tower water would be much lower than the
- 11 impacts of direct discharge of the water and direct
- 12 impacts on water quality.
- MS. OWEN: That's interesting, because
- 14 I think we had the same discussion with another
- 15 company, and the company decided to change what they
- 16 were putting in there because of the prairie next to
- 17 it. And I wonder if the company here would extend
- 18 the same courtesy to their neighbors.
- I have just a curiosity question. Did
- 20 Power Holdings ever come to IEPA and offer to capture
- 21 and sequester carbon?
- MR. ROMAINE: No.
- MS. OWEN: Never?
- MR. ROMAINE: No.
- MS. OWEN: Okay. What is the waste

1 product? I mean, there must be something other than

- 2 gas that is left over after you squeeze all kinds of
- 3 things out of coal.
- 4 MR. ROMAINE: The waste product is a
- 5 slag material.
- 6 MS. OWEN: What's a slag?
- 7 MR. ROMAINE: A slag is a vitreous
- 8 mineral material.
- 9 MS. OWEN: Is that a waste?
- MR. ROMAINE: Yes.
- MS. OWEN: Do they need a waste
- 12 permit?
- MR. ROMAINE: They need to manage the
- 14 slag properly, in accordance with applicable waste
- 15 management regulations. However, they do not need a
- 16 waste permit.
- MS. OWEN: Why don't they need a waste
- 18 permit if they produce waste?
- 19 MR. ROMAINE: Because simply the fact
- 20 of generating waste does not require a permit.
- MS. OWEN: Because if they needed a
- 22 waste permit, there would've been siting approval
- 23 required; is that correct?
- MR. ROMAINE: No.
- MS. OWEN: Oh, okay. Well, I disagree

- 1 with that, but that's okay. I can put that in
- 2 writing. People's eyes are gonna' glaze over, 'cause
- 3 these are some technical questions. Start-up,
- 4 shutdown, and malfunction. In your draft permit, you
- 5 require that Power Holdings create a plan for
- 6 start-up, shutdown, and malfunction. However, you
- 7 don't set any standards in the permit as to what that
- 8 plan would look like. So my question is, why does
- 9 the draft permit fail to require specific standards
- 10 for start-up, shutdown, and malfunction? And
- 11 secondly, and as importantly, will that plan, after
- it's submitted then, escape public comment?
- 13 MR. ROMAINE: The purpose of that plan
- 14 is to minimize the amount of syngas that is sent to
- 15 the flares. Syngas during start-up and shutdown or
- 16 the emissions from the gasifier would be controlled
- 17 by the flaring process. As such, the plan is
- 18 appropriately developed, I'd say, to minimize the
- 19 amount of emissions that occur from those periods of
- 20 time.
- 21 The project is not a major source of
- 22 hazardous air pollutants. As such, there is not a
- 23 requirement that the plan be subjected to public
- 24 review, provided that the plant stays a minor source
- of hazardous air pollutants. There is the

1 opportunity, as part of the operating permit for this

- 2 facility, assuming it's built and begins operation,
- 3 for members of the public to comment on that plan if
- 4 they have concerns at that time.
- 5 MS. OWEN: Hmm. Seems a little
- 6 backwards to me, but okay. Talking about the
- 7 flares. Will the gas that is a source of the
- 8 atmosphere as the acid gas flares have been cleaned
- 9 by thermal oxidizers or any other method prior to
- 10 combustion?
- 11 MR. ROMAINE: The majority of the gas
- 12 that would be flared would have, in fact, gone
- 13 through the gas cleaning process, so it would've been
- 14 processed for removal of particulate, chlorides, and
- 15 mercury. It would not necessarily have been cleaned
- 16 to remove sulfur compounds.
- 17 MS. OWEN: Hmm. Okay. Second to last
- 18 question. The permit fails to require specific
- 19 standards for a flare minimization plan. Why didn't
- 20 you think that was necessary?
- 21 MR. ROMAINE: Again, a flare
- 22 minimization plan is something that is developed over
- 23 time, based on experience with the operation of a
- 24 facility, to reduce the amount of flaring. One of
- 25 the key aspects or I guess the two parts of the

- 1 flaring minimization plan, one is appropriate
- 2 planning in advance to address likely scenarios and
- 3 develop a plan to minimize the amount of flaring.
- 4 The other aspect of the plan is to have
- 5 corrective action evaluations when flaring events
- 6 occur that had not been adequately addressed. When
- 7 those events occur, the plant has to perform a root
- 8 cause analysis to evaluate why the flaring event
- 9 occurred, what can be done to mitigate similar
- 10 events, or ideally to eliminate future events in the
- 11 future.
- 12 MS. OWEN: So it's let them build it
- 13 first, then check it later kind of approach to that?
- 14 MR. ROMAINE: In terms of the nature of
- 15 flaring in chemical plants, I think you, in a certain
- 16 sense, explained it. In fact, this plant does not
- 17 want the flare emissions. That's not its objective.
- 18 Flaring does not produce product. So we work over
- 19 time with the plant to assure that it's keeping
- 20 flaring at an absolute minimum level.
- MS. OWEN: Okay. Well, I'm glad we
- 22 agreed on something tonight. I have a last question
- 23 for the vitrified product they're producing. Power
- 24 Holdings says that there is a market for this, but
- 25 they don't have any materials safety sheet. I don't

- 1 know that they tested it. What is your
- 2 responsibility to make sure, if they manage to sell
- 3 it to somebody, that it is used appropriately and
- 4 safely?
- 5 MR. ROMAINE: That's a matter that
- 6 would be addressed by our land use control. From a
- 7 much more basic level, the concern would be that the
- 8 slag material is tested to assure that it meets the
- 9 leachate LCP test and does not need to be managed as
- 10 a special or hazardous waste.
- MS. OWEN: But we don't know that yet?
- 12 MR. ROMAINE: We have not seen tests.
- 13 This is a new facility. We can only speculate based
- 14 on information from similar facilities.
- 15 MS. OWEN: And how many do we have of
- 16 those, and what are the results there?
- 17 MR. ROMAINE: I have not seen them.
- MS. OWEN: Okay. Again, build it
- 19 first, and see what happens later. I don't like that
- 20 approach. And thank you.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Mary Ann
- 22 Kujawa?
- MS. MARY ANN KUJAWA: My name is Mary
- 24 Ann Kujawa, K-U-J-A-W-A. That's two words, Mary, and
- 25 then A-N-N. I just have a couple of comments to

```
1 make. This is the first meeting that I've attended
```

- 2 for this, but I have a couple of questions. We all
- 3 see nowadays what the government has done to us.
- 4 We're all broke with our retirements. Now, what is
- 5 the government in Illinois gonna' do for us? Are you
- 6 going to give us this permit, when you right now told
- 7 us you do not know where the nearest air monitor is?
- 8 So how do we know how clean our air
- 9 will be before the plant is built, and how will we
- 10 know how our air is gonna' be after the plant is
- 11 built? And who reviews this and decides on giving
- 12 this permit to this company? Do you have a board?
- 13 Are you three people making that decision? Or how
- 14 many other people are making that decision?
- 15 HEARING OFFICER: The Hearing Officer
- 16 does not make the decision.
- 17 MR. ROMAINE: The decision would be
- 18 made by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency,
- 19 and it would be a decision of the collective judgment
- 20 of the Bureau of Air, consulting with people in other
- 21 bureaus as necessary.
- 22 MS. MARY ANN KUJAWA: So do they review
- 23 the notes that have been taken at these hearings --
- MR. ROMAINE: The matter --
- 25 MS. MARY ANN KUJAWA: -- and these

- 1 comments?
- 2 MR. ROMAINE: The matters that have
- 3 been raised and these comments will be discussed with
- 4 individuals in the Illinois EPA.
- 5 MS. MARY ANN KUJAWA: Okay. So on this
- 6 permit, is it like a certificate of need?
- 7 MR. ROMAINE: No, it is not like a
- 8 certificate of need under -- I assume you're
- 9 referring to --
- 10 MS. MARY ANN KUJAWA: I've been in
- 11 nursing for 40 years, and when you have to have a
- 12 hospital or increase their beds or decrease their
- 13 beds, you have to go and get a certificate of need to
- 14 change your need for your environment. So I -- the
- 15 other lady from the Sierra Club said we really don't
- 16 see why we need to have this plant. So I just was
- wondering, this isn't like a certificate of need?
- 18 MR. ROMAINE: No, it is not like a
- 19 certificate of need for medical facilities.
- 20 MS. MARY ANN KUJAWA: Okay. So then,
- 21 since I have that history of medical, I would like to
- 22 know, when you do a permit, do you take in the area
- 23 of who is able to take care of these people if there
- 24 is a disaster in our area? We have a rural hospital
- 25 in Nashville that probably, if they have one vent, I

- 1 don't know if they even have a vent. We have two
- 2 hospitals in Mt. Vernon. How many vent beds do they
- 3 have? Because if it would be gas explosion, you're
- 4 going to have lung problems. Then you have a
- 5 Carbondale Hospital, which that is 50 miles from
- 6 here.
- 7 So are we able to provide good service
- 8 for our people in our area? It won't even be the
- 9 people just on the site. It'll be the people who
- 10 live around there that are gonna' need medical
- 11 attention. Who considers that?
- MR. ROMAINE: The approach in
- 13 permitting facilities like this is to prevent
- 14 off-site impacts. As was mentioned, there should be
- 15 appropriate planning. A key aspect of that planning
- 16 is evacuations, if there are events in which it is
- 17 unsafe to remain in the vicinity of the plant.
- 18 MS. MARY ANN KUJAWA: Okay. The next
- 19 thing I just have a comment about. You know, we live
- 20 in an area, everybody knows about the black lung
- 21 that's been in this area, because this is a coal
- 22 area. We had a number of coal mines. A lot of
- 23 people have black lung disease. From this plant, are
- 24 we gonna' have bad gas lung disease? And who will
- 25 pay those medical bills?

```
1 You know, right now, the health cost in
```

- 2 the nation is just exploding, just going up, up, up.
- 3 And when you have a lung disease, have you seen
- 4 somebody with oxygen, struggling to breathe? It's
- 5 not a pretty picture. And since I -- I don't live
- 6 exactly in this area. I live in Nashville. But we
- 7 do have land that is close, that's been in the family
- 8 since in the early 1900's.
- 9 We plan to retire there. We plan to
- 10 have our grandchildren play there. But now we won't
- 11 be able to. Right now, I walk three and four times a
- 12 week, a full mile, close to that plant. I'll
- 13 probably not be able to walk. If I do, I'll have to
- 14 wear a mask. That's the only comment that I have.
- 15 Thank you so much.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 17 comment. Kathy Andria?
- 18 MS. ANDRIA: Again, my name is Kathy
- 19 Andria. I have a question that I asked during my
- 20 comments rhetorically, but I'd like Chris Romaine to
- 21 please respond, because I know that PSD permits have
- 22 different rules than other permits, and I wondered
- 23 whether, with a PSD permit, don't they have to have a
- 24 viable project?
- 25 MR. ROMAINE: The viability of the

- 1 project is something that has been discussed in
- 2 certain past projects and certain policy by U.S. EPA,
- 3 yes. I think it's simpler to say that a PSD permit
- 4 cannot be issued for a project that is clearly not
- 5 viable. The project that I'm most familiar with is a
- 6 person that was proposing a project requesting a PSD
- 7 permit, and then let its lease on the land that the
- 8 project was to be developed upon lapse. I think it
- 9 actually sold the property to another party. So at
- 10 that point, it was impossible for that project to be
- 11 pursued. The company had taken specific actions
- 12 essentially abandoning the proposed project.
- MS. ANDRIA: Well, when you get an
- 14 application in, what efforts, what steps do you take
- 15 to evaluate the viability of the company that has
- 16 filed the application?
- 17 MR. ROMAINE: That is not a routine
- 18 action that we take. As Power Holdings has
- 19 explained, I believe they've spent approximately \$10
- 20 million in development costs. That shows a serious
- 21 interest in developing a facility. We don't feel
- 22 qualified to further evaluate the circumstances of
- 23 the project.
- 24 MS. ANDRIA: Well, since they seem to
- 25 have had trouble, the local neighbors have had a lot

- 1 of problems getting answers, and the answers have
- 2 varied depending on who asks them, have you made any
- 3 attempt to see whether they did indeed spend \$10
- 4 million and on what?
- 5 MR. ROMAINE: No, I have not. And I
- 6 would like to thank everybody who's been here tonight
- 7 and explained their concerns about the project, by
- 8 making us aware of them. That is the purpose of this
- 9 public hearing, to hear concerns from the people that
- 10 would be potentially affected by a proposed project.
- 11 MS. ANDRIA: So you do not plan to
- 12 further investigate the viability of this project?
- 13 MR. ROMAINE: I cannot answer that at
- 14 this time.
- 15 MS. ANDRIA: Because, you cannot answer
- 16 it, because you may, because you are required to,
- 17 because you can't? Why?
- 18 MR. ROMAINE: I will have to think
- 19 about it.
- MS. ANDRIA: We urge you to do that.
- 21 We're not sure. There are a lot of financial
- 22 questions about this. Do you look into and are you
- 23 consulted by the Illinois Finance Authority before
- 24 they issue bonds for a project?
- 25 MR. ROMAINE: I'm not familiar that

- 1 that consultation occurs.
- MS. ANDRIA: Do you have consultation
- 3 with any other agency over any kind of financial
- 4 viability?
- 5 MR. ROMAINE: I'm not familiar with
- 6 that type of consultation.
- 7 MS. ANDRIA: So then what goes into
- 8 your thinking if, because of a PSD permit, you don't
- 9 want a nonviable project hoarding credits or whatever
- 10 they're called that they need to for the PSD permit.
- 11 How do you evaluate whether a project and a company
- 12 is viable?
- MR. ROMAINE: As I said, the policy
- 14 that has come up in the past has involved
- 15 circumstances where projects were clearly not
- 16 viable. They have not been assessments of the degree
- 17 of viability of a particular project. There are many
- 18 projects that are proposed that never get developed.
- 19 The State of Illinois has received applications for
- 20 over 50 new ethanol plants. We've issued permits for
- 21 over 50 new ethanol plants. My expectation is that
- 22 only ten of those will be built. The projects that
- 23 are financially viable will flourish and proceed.
- 24 The ones that are not financially viable will be
- 25 dropped and will not be developed.

```
1 MS. ANDRIA: When a company files an
```

- 2 application, what kind of fee are they required to
- 3 post?
- 4 MR. ROMAINE: The application fee
- 5 varies upon the type of facility, the complexity of
- 6 the permit. Off the top of your head, remember the
- 7 fees that are involved for this project, Bob?
- 8 MR. SMET: No. But it's tens of
- 9 thousands.
- 10 MR. ROMAINE: Something on the order of
- 11 \$50,000. It's not a trivial sum.
- 12 MS. ANDRIA: And in your experience, an
- 13 application such as what was prepared for this
- 14 permit, how much would that cost?
- MR. ROMAINE: I don't know.
- MS. ANDRIA: We would assume it
- 17 wouldn't cost anywhere near \$10 million, would you
- 18 think?
- MR. ROMAINE: I don't think so, no.
- 20 MS. ANDRIA: We don't -- do you know
- 21 whether the company owns the land that it's proposing
- 22 to build on?
- MR. ROMAINE: No, I don't.
- MS. ANDRIA: Is that part, would that
- 25 be part of your inquiry into whether it's a viable

- 1 project?
- 2 MR. ROMAINE: Again, I'd have to
- 3 consult with experts, maybe my attorney back in
- 4 Springfield, to decide whether it's a relevant
- 5 consideration.
- 6 MS. ANDRIA: Are emissions different
- 7 and your calculations as to the emissions different
- 8 whether the coal is slurried in or whether it's
- 9 brought in by rail, say?
- MR. ROMAINE: No. Because part of the
- 11 process of gasifying coal involves mixing coal and
- 12 water, the coal can either be slurried at a distant
- 13 site or be slurried at the plant before being
- 14 produced in the gas pipes.
- MS. ANDRIA: And I know this isn't your
- 16 field, but if it were slurried, say, in another
- 17 county, then that would not count toward this
- 18 company's allocation of getting water from Rend Lake,
- 19 say?
- 20 MR. ROMAINE: I don't know. It would
- 21 depend where that water came from.
- 22 MS. ANDRIA: But if it were, since they
- 23 don't own a mine, it would have to be the mine that
- 24 got the permit for the water allocation; right? It
- 25 would be a different one? They couldn't extend that

- 1 over?
- 2 MR. ROMAINE: I do not know how water
- 3 allocations work.
- 4 MS. ANDRIA: Since apparently, from my
- 5 understanding from the people who live around there,
- 6 and looking at the application and some other
- 7 documents, this proposed plant site would go, extend
- 8 over to the other side of the county line. Does that
- 9 change your evaluation?
- 10 MR. ROMAINE: I'm not familiar with the
- 11 exact property details, but, uh, again, the exact
- 12 outline. At this time, it would not affect it,
- 13 because Washington County and Jefferson County have
- 14 similar air quality. Both are attainment areas.
- 15 MS. ANDRIA: So it doesn't matter that
- one, uh, that say they put the sulfur tanks or
- 17 something on the other side in Washington County, and
- 18 wouldn't there be some sort of vapor? I mean, I
- 19 don't know. Do they have the floating caps on the
- 20 tanks or what? Wouldn't that be part of the mix that
- 21 you should be evaluating?
- MR. ROMAINE: Well, it's part of the
- 23 plant, it's part of the plant's emissions, yes. But
- 24 it doesn't matter -- the distinction between being in
- 25 Jefferson County or in Washington County is not a

- 1 distinguishing feature on the layout of the plant.
- 2 MS. ANDRIA: There was a recent ruling
- 3 that was about start-up, shutdown with regard to HAP
- 4 standards. Will that apply to this plant?
- 5 MR. ROMAINE: That ruling will not
- 6 apply, because this plant is not a major source of
- 7 hazardous air pollutants. In addition, that ruling
- 8 doesn't directly do anything. The ruling found that
- 9 U.S. EPA had erred in certain actions it had taken
- 10 with respect to its rules for hazardous air
- 11 pollutants. This is something that the Obama
- 12 Administration has inherited from the Bush
- 13 Administration, and now must proceed to take
- 14 corrective action for.
- 15 MS. ANDRIA: So Illinois EPA is not
- 16 going to be on the other things, like refineries and
- 17 steel mills, you're not going to be changing the
- 18 start-up, shutdown?
- 19 MR. ROMAINE: We will follow the
- 20 relevant regulations as eventually adopted by U.S.
- 21 EPA.
- 22 MS. ANDRIA: You said in, I think Becki
- 23 Clayborn asked you about evaluating economic benefits
- 24 for a PSD permit, and you said that you do evaluate
- 25 economic benefits, but that you didn't -- and I'm

1 unclear as to what you said. You don't evaluate

- 2 property value loss. What do you evaluate?
- 3 MR. ROMAINE: Economic impacts are
- 4 something that can be addressed as part of the
- 5 determination of Best Available Control Technology.
- 6 MS. ANDRIA: Only in that?
- 7 MR. ROMAINE: That is the area where
- 8 they have to be considered. The issue of economic
- 9 benefits beyond that is something that is
- 10 discretionary, I'd say. Certainly we are not
- 11 considering the number of jobs that are provided by
- 12 this facility or the potential tax benefits for local
- 13 municipalities.
- MS. ANDRIA: What about all of the,
- 15 what about all of the letters of support that were
- offered by Ms. Bechtel? How are those evaluated?
- 17 MR. ROMAINE: Those are simply letters
- 18 of support. We also received a number of statements
- 19 of opposition.
- 20 MS. ANDRIA: So they're valued
- 21 equally?
- MR. ROMAINE: I think, in fact, the
- 23 letters or the comments of opposition, as they raised
- 24 specific concerns about the proposed facility that
- 25 are something that we deal with, are heard much more

1 carefully than general letters saying that there is

- 2 support for a particular project.
- 3 MS. ANDRIA: And how does the political
- 4 atmosphere, support, whatever you want to call it,
- 5 feed into this decision-making?
- 6 HEARING OFFICER: That's not relevant.
- 7 We're here to gather, have you ask questions
- 8 concerning the permit. So why don't you confine your
- 9 questions to what's contained in the project summary
- 10 and the permit, please, and wrap this up.
- 11 MS. ANDRIA: I was just responding,
- 12 since the Economic Development Director made sure she
- 13 mentioned Congressman Costello and Shimkus' support
- 14 and Senator Jones, etc., Cavaletto and dah, dah, dah,
- 15 dah, dah, dah. And I just wondered what value, does
- 16 each letter of support balance a complaint about -- I
- 17 don't know why this is doing this -- a complaint
- 18 about odor or explosion or seismic activity or
- 19 mercury?
- 20 MR. ROMAINE: No. To the extent that
- 21 there are concerns about mercury, our job is to
- 22 demonstrate that this plant, in fact, adequately
- 23 controls mercury. This plant will have carbon
- 24 absorbers and do an extremely good job in collecting
- 25 the mercury that is present in the coal, so that it

1 is not released in the environment. The letters from

- 2 political people, as I have said, don't really go to
- 3 the environmental matters that are addressed in
- 4 permitting.
- 5 MS. ANDRIA: I was also given, from
- 6 some shy person who didn't want to come to the mike,
- 7 something that we're calling questions from a
- 8 neighbor. Some of them are not questions, but they
- 9 are statements, and I'm just gonna' read them so it
- 10 gets into the record.
- 11 Transportation impact. They want to
- 12 know the impact of large trucks and equipment on
- 13 local roads and bridges during construction and on a
- 14 daily operating basis. And the impact on the air
- 15 quality of all of these. Impact of real costs on
- 16 road improvements and repairs to local communities
- 17 and county governments. That might not be your
- 18 issue, but they want, this person wanted this
- 19 addressed.
- The railroad line location, I don't
- 21 know. Are they gonna' have a railroad or not? We're
- 22 not sure. We know there's no application that's been
- 23 filed. The type. We want to know the type and the
- 24 size of the rail-loading area. Is there a rail
- 25 yard? Some of the people were told there would be a

- 1 turnaround for a particular track. Some people were
- 2 told that the coal could be brought in from all kinds
- 3 of places. It's certainly not a mine smelting plant
- 4 anymore.
- 5 Safety. Let's see. The impact of the
- 6 railroad crossings on local traffic, including
- 7 farming activities. It really did change Prairie
- 8 State. They changed, farmers have to go miles out of
- 9 their way. They had to, when roads were being built
- 10 and all kinds of issues there. Umm, safety issues.
- 11 Single and lighted crossings on all roads, number of
- 12 roads that will be closed to through traffic.
- 13 Will crossings for farm activities be
- 14 made available in off-road areas? Crossing
- 15 blockages. They're concerned about the actual time
- 16 of road closures due to trains on a daily basis. I
- 17 know that was of extreme concern to the people at
- 18 Prairie State.
- 19 Environmental issues. They're
- 20 concerned about the impact on local residents in all
- 21 surrounding counties. The impact on local flora and
- 22 fauna. What studies have been done to understand
- 23 what presently exists in the surrounding area? Have
- 24 all species been catalogued, and how can this be
- 25 verified? Are all questions answered about any

- 1 species that may exist?
- I did not hear the name of the person
- 3 who was asking for coordination with -- was it EPA?
- 4 I missed -- I couldn't hear that testimony at all.
- 5 MR. SMET: Do you mean --
- 6 MS. ANDRIA: I can't hear you.
- 7 MR. SMET: Do you mean in regard to
- 8 U.S. EPA and Fish & Wildlife?
- 9 MS. ANDRIA: The person -- I didn't
- 10 hear what the person said. I heard part of the
- 11 testimony. It was not -- it was very difficult to
- 12 hear her. So I didn't know whether she was an agency
- 13 person. It sounded like she was an agency person.
- MR. SMET: Clarify something. You're
- 15 talking about what occasion that you heard?
- MS. ANDRIA: Someone who was up here
- 17 earlier.
- 18 MR. SMET: Oh, oh, okay. I didn't
- 19 gather -- oh, you're talking about the woman from
- 20 IDOT? Is that who you mean?
- MS. ANDRIA: Yeah, I guess so.
- 22 MR. SMET: Okay. So your question's in
- 23 regard to her asking us about what effects this would
- 24 have on endangered species? We have some
- 25 documentation that U.S. EPA found no significant

1 impacts on endangered and threatened species, which

- 2 we can provide in the response to comments.
- MS. ANDRIA: My understanding is, the
- 4 modeling that was done did not do modeling for
- 5 commingling. The Class One area did not take into
- 6 account Baldwin or Prairie State or the other plant
- 7 that hasn't been built. Those are cumulative
- 8 impacts. All of those emissions will come this way,
- 9 and I think that there should've been modeling done
- 10 to reflect cumulative impacts. I'm going back to the
- 11 shy person's notes.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER: Can we have that just
- 13 admitted into the record? It will have the same
- 14 weight as if it's read. It's getting late, so let's
- 15 make sure that everybody gets a chance to speak, and
- 16 I'll mark that as Exhibit 12, I think.
- MS. ANDRIA: All right. That's a very
- 18 strange microphone.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER: Actually Exhibit 13.
- 20 Does that conclude your questions, Kathy?
- MS. ANDRIA: I think so.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER: This is Exhibit --
- 23 you don't have a name or anything for me?
- MS. ANDRIA: No.
- 25 HEARING OFFICER: That's fine.

```
1 MS. ANDRIA: It was given to me by not
```

- 2 the shy person, but by someone --
- 3 HEARING OFFICER: That's fine. Is that
- 4 the conclusion?
- 5 MS. ANDRIA: I'm sorry?
- 6 HEARING OFFICER: The conclusion of
- 7 your questions?
- 8 MS. ANDRIA: Yes.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Ted
- 10 Schanafelt?
- 11 MR. SCHANAFELT: I got a question about
- 12 the coal piles. You know, when you bring the coal
- into this place, you're talking about 13,000 tons a
- 14 day. Is it gonna' be covered? Is it gonna' be out
- 15 in the open?
- 16 MR. ROMAINE: The plans for storage of
- 17 coal at the plant now involve storage of coal in
- 18 silos.
- 19 MR. SCHANAFELT: In silos? Okay.
- 20 'Cause they have fires all the time, you know.
- 21 MR. ROMAINE: I can't agree to "all the
- 22 time," but certainly coal piles have to be managed
- 23 carefully because of the danger of fire.
- MR. SCHANAFELT: What I'm gonna' tell
- 25 you is, we had a coal fire three times last year at

- 1 Coulterville. The Coulterville Fire Department has
- 2 got nine women on the fire department, and they had
- 3 three fires last year that they had to fight. They
- 4 have no way of fighting coal fires inside the silos,
- 5 that I know of. They gotta' be oxygen-free.
- 6 So you might want to consider that.
- 7 None of the fire departments around here will be able
- 8 to do that. They're all volunteers, most of them.
- 9 Now, another thing is, you know, you can put it in
- 10 there, material in there so that won't happen, or you
- 11 can make them oxygen-free.
- 12 Okay. Now, on this air pollution by
- 13 trucks and all that stuff, you know, right now, all
- 14 the garbage trucks that haul garbage have to be
- 15 covered. And when they go to the dump every day,
- 16 they have to be covered with dirt. Now, is that some
- 17 kind of weird regulation or not? You know, 'cause
- 18 you're gonna' have the same problems with these coal
- 19 trucks.
- 20 All the asphalt trucks have to be
- 21 covered now. You know, now, the rail cars are not
- 22 gonna' be covered. They're gonna' be open. The
- 23 fertilizer industry mandated that all the fertilizer
- 24 cars had to be covered. You know, this is standard
- 25 practice. Now, here we got an industry that has no

- 1 regulations on that at all, that I know of. Okay.
- Now, if you put this coal in a slurry
- 3 line, is all of the water gonna' be used in the
- 4 process? And if not, where does all that extra water
- 5 go?
- 6 MR. ROMAINE: I don't have the water
- 7 balance. I guess Power Holdings will have to answer
- 8 that tonight.
- 9 MR. SCHANAFELT: Well, I doubt if
- 10 anybody knows, 'cause nobody's ever done it before.
- 11 MR. DARGUZAS: I didn't hear the
- 12 question.
- 13 MR. SCHANAFELT: That's gotta' be a lot
- 14 of extra water, you know.
- 15 MR. DARGUZAS: Madame Hearing Officer,
- 16 we can address that, if you like.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER: If you would like to
- 18 address it, you may. Does that conclude your
- 19 questions?
- MR. SCHANAFELT: No.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
- MR. SCHANAFELT: 'Cause down in
- 23 Florida, the phosphate mines, all slurry or phosphate
- 24 take it to a processing plant, but they got all these
- 25 great big holes in the ground that they put it back

- 1 into, so there's always excess of water, 'cause they
- 2 use water in phosphate manufacturing, too, along with
- 3 sulfuric acid. Now, if all this extra water's gotta'
- 4 be put in a settling pond or something, is this
- 5 settling pond gonna' have clay liners or plastic or
- 6 in the dam structure itself?
- 7 MR. ROMAINE: Again I'd refer that to
- 8 Power Holdings to answer.
- 9 MR. SCHANAFELT: Well, I asked that
- 10 same question last time at the mine permit over
- 11 there, all them three mines over there that you've
- 12 already permitted, I assume.
- MR. ROMAINE: Permits for mines are
- 14 issued by the Department of Natural Resources.
- 15 MR. SCHANAFELT: Yeah. But this was an
- 16 air hearing, too, you know. So if they don't have
- 17 clay liners, then they're just gonna' go right down
- 18 in the soil. Now, when you start talking about this
- 19 slag that's left over, there's 25 heavy metals. Now,
- 20 is that gonna' be in that slag?
- 21 MR. ROMAINE: The metals in the ash
- 22 that are present in coal will be retained in the
- 23 slag, yes.
- 24 MR. SCHANAFELT: Right. So there's 29
- 25 heavy metals that ain't never gonna' go away, ever.

- 1 Now, that's gotta' be toxic somewhere. And when this
- 2 plant shuts down, what are they gonna' do with all of
- 3 it? The State of Illinois will be responsible for
- 4 cleaning up just like all these gas stations that
- 5 they had to dig up the tanks and replace the ground
- 6 and run through a big thermal process to burn it
- 7 off.
- I don't think this is ever gonna'
- 9 happen with heavy metals, so there's gonna' be some
- 10 waste product forever. So this has to be addressed.
- 11 If they haul it to a dump, they ain't gonna' be able
- 12 to dump it, so they're gonna' have someplace to put
- 13 it. And when the site shuts down, we're gonna' have
- 14 a toxic waste site, as far as I can see. Okay.
- 15 That's all the questions I got for now. Thank you
- 16 very much.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
- 18 Mr. Darguzas, would you like to respond to the water
- 19 cycle question at this time? You don't have to.
- 20 MR. DARGUZAS: Since that's not an air
- 21 question, Power Holdings would be happy to stay after
- 22 and talk about that.
- HEARING OFFICER: You're not required.
- 24 Charles Spotanski? This is the last person I have
- 25 that wishes to speak, so --

```
1 MR. CHARLES SPOTANSKI: Charles
```

- 2 Spotanski. I have a few questions that I didn't get
- 3 answered earlier.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Spotanski, please
- 5 face the court reporter, and hold the mike up, so
- 6 that everybody in the room can hear you.
- 7 MR. CHARLES SPOTANSKI: All right. Is
- 8 that better? Monitoring these stacks for emissions,
- 9 now, is that gonna' be by computer or employee, or
- 10 how is that gonna' be monitored? Is it gonna' shut
- 11 down if the emissions are greater than they are
- 12 supposed to be, or you just increase them to let it
- 13 go or what?
- 14 MR. SMET: It's electronic, so that
- it's monitoring the emissions constantly,
- 16 continuously, and it's being recorded.
- 17 MR. CHARLES SPOTANSKI: Okay. When it
- 18 gets above the level --
- 19 MR. SMET: It gets recorded, and
- 20 that's --
- 21 MR. CHARLES SPOTANSKI: I mean, it gets
- 22 recorded, but does it shut down, or how long does it
- 23 go that way?
- 24 MR. SMET: It continues to monitor
- 25 those emissions. And it doesn't require that they be

1 shut down. It's an indication that it must be

- 2 addressed by the company, and --
- 3 MR. ROMAINE: The purpose of that
- 4 monitoring is to make sure that equipment is operated
- 5 properly. Accordingly, when levels that measure
- 6 pollutants increase, it's the obligation of Power
- 7 Holdings to take corrective action to assure that
- 8 levels of emissions don't exceed the permitted
- 9 levels.
- 10 MR. CHARLES SPOTANSKI: How long is
- 11 that gonna' take to do that?
- MR. ROMAINE: Again, it's a
- 13 case-by-case process, depending on why the emission
- 14 levels are increasing. Is it something out of
- 15 balance that can be readily corrected? Is it
- 16 something that can be corrected over time? Is it
- 17 something that requires the process to be shut down
- 18 and maintenance performed? But you can't generalize
- 19 the time.
- 20 MR. CHARLES SPOTANSKI: Sounds like in
- 21 that permit, it reads in it like you notify EPA, and
- they might respond in 30 or 45 days.
- MR. ROMAINE: The purpose of the
- 24 notification to the Illinois EPA is to assure that we
- 25 are aware of what has occurred, and that we can

- 1 oversee and verify that Power Holdings took
- 2 appropriate actions in the particular circumstance.
- 3 It is not intended to be the means by which
- 4 corrective actions are taken. It's under the
- 5 obligation of Power Holdings at all times to operate
- 6 the plant properly to the capability of equipment and
- 7 comply with the applicable standards that have been
- 8 set for it.
- 9 MR. CHARLES SPOTANSKI: Okay. I want
- 10 to ask you a question on this railroad situation.
- 11 Once it's railroad, and once it's slurry. Now, if
- 12 there's going to be a railroad, do we have a route
- 13 picked out for that railroad, or when will we have?
- 14 Can anybody answer that? I mean, I would think at
- 15 this time and date, you might be talking to some
- 16 landowners in that area, you know, to just maybe let
- 17 them know that you might be looking at something like
- 18 that, but I guess that's not part of the process.
- 19 Okay. The other one here, on this
- 20 noise level at the fence line. Can you answer that
- 21 for me? What fence line? Is that the fence around
- 22 the plant or what?
- 23 MR. ROMAINE: It's the fence around the
- 24 plant.
- 25 MR. CHARLES SPOTANSKI: Have you got

- 1 any idea how far that's gonna' go?
- 2 MR. ROMAINE: It presumably will be at
- 3 their property line.
- 4 MR. CHARLES SPOTANSKI: Okay. On the
- 5 thing of crops not ripening. You know, a crop won't
- 6 ripen under a light. Now, whose responsibility is
- 7 that gonna' be whenever you got 40 acres of beans
- 8 there next to this proposed plant that don't ripen?
- 9 Who's gonna' take care of that?
- 10 MR. ROMAINE: I would want to research
- 11 the information you're basing that statement on. I
- 12 know that lighting is certainly a nuisance for
- 13 individuals. I'm not sure what level of lighting is
- 14 needed to interfere with the growth of soybeans.
- MR. CHARLES SPOTANSKI: Well, any
- 16 street light, you go by a town or any place like
- 17 that, and there's a large area right around that
- 18 street light that will not ripen.
- 19 Okay. On this holding pond. Now,
- 20 after this 3-inch rain in 24 hours, what happens to
- 21 that water? If it holds all that water, what happens
- 22 to that water once it quits raining?
- MR. ROMAINE: Again, I don't have a
- 24 water balance. That water would either have to be
- 25 used as part of the manufacturing process or

- 1 appropriately be treated to be discharged. If it
- 2 were to have the ability to be discharged, there
- 3 would have to be a separate permit issued for that
- 4 discharge, depending on whether it went to a
- 5 municipal treatment plant or was sent to a waterway.
- 6 If it's a waterway, there would be an extensive
- 7 permitting process under the National Pollution of
- 8 Discharge Elimination System or NPDES.
- 9 MR. CHARLES SPOTANSKI: Okay. I just
- 10 have to say one more thing, and it'll probably get me
- 11 in trouble. But at the meeting in Waltonville on
- 12 Saturday the 21st, I was there from 9 o'clock to 4
- 13 o'clock. Now, in that time frame, I know there was
- 14 two alternating, and I think there was three sheriff
- 15 deputies in there. Do we have a reason why you'd
- 16 have a sheriff deputy at that meeting? Is that a
- 17 strong tactic or something that just nobody likes, or
- 18 was the county doing that? I'd just like to know.
- 19 Jefferson County, if you read the papers and the
- 20 financial situation we're in, I don't think we'd have
- 21 money to run three deputies around from Mt. Vernon,
- 22 you know. They didn't stay there. They alternated.
- 23 So with that, thank you.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your
- 25 comment. Is there anyone else that would like to

make a comment at this time? Seeing that there are

```
no more members of the public with questions or
2
3
     comments, we will bring this hearing to a close.
4
                   I'd like to again remind everyone that
5
     the comment period for the record in this matter
6
     closes on April 2, 2009. Any written comments must
7
    be postmarked before midnight on April 2 to be
8
    accepted as part of the record. Copies of the
9
     exhibits are available upon request. The time is
10
    approximately 10:30, and this hearing is adjourned.
11
     Thank you very much for coming this evening.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS )
2	)
3	COUNTY OF JACKSON )
4	
5	
6	I, Sharon Valerius, a Freelance Court
7	Reporter for the State of Illinois, do hereby certify
8	that I reported in machine shorthand the Illinois EPA
9	Hearing held on March 3, 2009, from 7:00 p.m. to
10	10:30 p.m., at the Knights of Columbus Hall, 130
11	South Eighth Street, DuBois, Illinois; that I
12	thereafter caused the foregoing to be transcribed
13	into computer-aided transcription, which I hereby
14	certify to be a true and accurate transcript of the
15	same.
16	
17	Dated this 9th day of March, 2009.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	FREELANCE COURT REPORTER
24	
25	